



CITY COUNCIL AGENDA
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

THESE PROCEEDINGS ARE BEING PRESENTED LIVE ON KCLV, CABLE CHANNEL 2. THE PROCEEDINGS WILL BE REBROADCAST ON KCLV CHANNEL 2 THE WEDNESDAY OF THE MEETING AT 8:00 PM AND ARE ALSO REBROADCAST ON FRIDAY AT 4:00 AM, SATURDAY AT 7:00 PM, SUNDAY AT 7:00 AM AND THE FOLLOWING MONDAY AT 10:00 AM.

- CALL TO ORDER
- ANNOUNCEMENT RE: COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETING LAW
- INVOCATION – THE REVEREND BONNIE POLLEY, CHRIST EPISCOPAL CHURCH
- PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

MINUTES:

PRESENT: MAYOR GOODMAN and COUNCIL MEMBERS REESE, M. McDONALD, BROWN, L.B. McDONALD, and WEEKLY

EXCUSED: COUNCILMAN MACK

Also Present: CITY MANAGER VIRGINIA VALENTINE, CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC, ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY JOHN REDLEIN (A.M. Session), DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT, DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY TOM GREEN (P.M. Session), and CITY CLERK BARBARA JO RONEMUS

ANNOUNCEMENT MADE - Meeting noticed and posted at the following locations:

Downtown Transportation Center, City Clerk's Board

Senior Citizens Center, 450 E. Bonanza Road

Clark County Government Center, 500 S. Grand Central Pkwy

Court Clerk's Bulletin Board, City Hall

City Hall Plaza, Posting Board

(9:09 – 9:10)

1-1

REVEREND STEVE KALAS, Christ Episcopal Church, gave the invocation.

(9:10 – 9:11)

1-17

MAYOR GOODMAN led the audience in the Pledge.

(9:11 – 9:12)

1-43

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Announcements Continued

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN announced that he received a telephone call from COUNCILMAN MACK indicating that he would be excused from the July 18, 2001, City Council meeting.

(1:19 – 1:20)

1-496

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF EMPLOYEE OF THE MONTH

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

LARRY HAUGSNESS, Director, and CHUCK BARINGER, Maintenance Field Supervisor of the Department of Field Operations, joined MAYOR GOODMAN in honoring MASON L. GEBE for being chosen as July's Employee of the Month for exhibiting some of the best work habits observed of any employee and for his excellent craftsmanship, great attitude, and willingness to perform a good job with the City's best interest at heart. Recently, the City was called upon to assist a gentleman who is wheelchair bound, and MR. GEBE and his crew came to the rescue by finding him a safe route that he could travel from his home to Charleston and Decatur and by putting in 13 new handicap ramps so that he could get to work. As a result of performing the work in-house, the City of Las Vegas saved more than \$16,850.

MR. GEBE thanked MR. BARINGER and his crew for their help.

MR. HAUGSNESS thanked MR. GEBE for his work. He noted that over the past year the Maintenance Division installed over 225 ramps. This has been a good program and MR. GEBE has contributed to it immensely.

(9:12 – 9:15)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF PARKS AND RECREATION MONTH

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Submitted at the meeting: videotape promoting Leisure Services

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN announced that July is the designated month to celebrate and recognize parks and recreation. The City Council believes in providing fundamental services to local residents and encourages a quality of life standard that is above other cities in the nation. He noted that while he was recently in Southern California many Las Vegas residents that were also there visiting approached him to thank him for providing parks and recreation to City of Las Vegas residents. They also expressed to him that Las Vegas is a great place to live and that the citizens appreciate the efforts of the Council.

JOHN CHAMBERS, Adaptive Recreation Manager, Leisure Services Department, and LARRY HAUGSNESS, Director, Field Operations Department, came forward. MR. CHAMBERS cued for a videotape to be shown on the promotion of Leisure Services throughout the City of Las Vegas. MR. CHAMBERS thanked the City Council for its support of the Department of Leisure Services as well as to the professional staff in the Department that work hard to bring smiles to the citizens that frequent the various facilities. MR. HAUGSNESS noted that a big part of the success of Leisure Services is due to the maintenance that Department of Field Operations staff performs on the parks and facilities. He thanked the Council for their devotion to developing parks and pointed out that the current park acreage is probably going to double within the next three years and that many of the older parks are being renovated.

(9:15 – 9:19)

City of Las Vegas

1-148

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

PRESENTATION OF E-TECHNOLOGY

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN invited JOSEPH MARCELLA, Director, Information Technologies Department, to come forward and stated that he is very excited about the use of innovative technology to streamline government services, while saving time and money for the residents. Due to technology, citizens will no longer have to wait in line to pay for parking tickets, because they can be paid on-line from any computer terminal.

After an informational video about paying tickets on-line was presented, MR. MARCELLA indicated that this is the first service of its kind in the State of Nevada. The City of Las Vegas is very pleased about being an early adaptor for e-government and e-commerce and being able to provide services that give constituents choices.

(9:19 – 9:22)

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Ceremonial Matters

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

From the dais, MAYOR GOODMAN welcomed GARY REESE, JR., and his students, who were being rewarded for their achievements in reading by attending the Council meeting. Another part of the reward was that if the students met their goal, MR. REESE would have his hair shaved off, of which pictures were presented but not submitted.

MR. REESE stated that his students are in an accelerated reading program, in which they are tested on their knowledge of the books they read and in which they receive points for each book. His third grade class is up to 1,000 points, which means that they are reading a lot of books. He explained that he decided to bring the students to the Council meeting because the school is nearby and most of them did not know where City Hall was located.

(9:22 – 9:26)

1-388

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI)
RONEMUS**

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Any items from the morning session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 43 and 73 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 68 to 8/1/2001 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:26 – 9:27)

1-498

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI)
RONEMUS**

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Approval of the Final Minutes by reference of the Regular City Council Meeting of June 20, 2001, the Recessed Meeting of June 25, 2001 and the Special City Council Meeting of June 25, 2001

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED by Reference – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27)

1-530

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Service and Material Checks/Payroll Checks/Wire Transfers/Other Checks and Investments

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount:

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Accounting Operations

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In compliance with the City's Municipal Code, Chapter 4.12, this is an informational item that provides the dollar amount of disbursements processed by the Finance and Business Services Department.

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Summary of cash expenditures for the period 06/01/01 - 06/15/01

Total Services and Materials Checks	\$ 21,360,524.38
Total Payroll Checks	\$ 8,312,999.70
Total Wire Transfers	\$ 72,244,085.39
Total NBS and City Investments	\$ 0.00

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Interlocal Agreement for Ambulance Service Regulation with Clark County and North Las Vegas to be effective through December 31, 2005

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Interlocal Agreement for Ambulance Service Regulation

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval by the City Council with the Mayor signing the Interlocal Agreement

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Interlocal Agreement for Ambulance Service Regulation

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License for the Las Vegas Natural History Museum,
Location: Las Vegas Natural History Museum, 900 North Las Vegas Blvd., Date: October 6,
2001, Type: Special Event General, Event: Dinosaur Ball, Responsible Person in Charge: Jean
Wright - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale Liquor License, LJP, Inc., dba Buckingham Smokehouse Bar BQ, 2341 North Rainbow Blvd., Jong S. Tan, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100%, Lina Carroll, Mgr, Chung H. Tan, Lender, Colleen K. Carroll, Lender - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License, From: Longhorn Enterprises, Inc., dba Golden Steer, Joseph Kludjian, Pres, Secy, Treas, 75%, To: Prime Enterprises 2001, LLC, dba Golden Steer Steak House, 308 West Sahara Ave., Michael J. Signorelli, Mgr, Mmbr, 68% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License, From: Sahouri, Inc., dba Sahouri, Inc., Abdel Assahouri, 50%, Mona Assahouri, 50%, To: Station Acquisitions, LLC, dba Desert Food Mart, 6100 West Charleston Blvd., Swadeep Nigam, Mgr, Mmbr, 50%, Akhtar P. Farmanali, Mmbr, 50% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for a Wholesale General Liquor License, Nishimoto Trading Co., Ltd., dba Nishimoto Trading Co., Ltd., From: 3950 West Diablo Drive, Suite B-8, To: 1660 Helm Drive, Suite 300-400, Tatsuzo Susaki, Dir, COB, 70%, Takeo Hashii, Dir, Pres, 13%, Seigo Nishihara, Dir, VP, 3%, Hideo Kanai, Dir, Secy, Treas, 3% - (County)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for a Wholesale General Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Manager for Gift Shop Limited Licenses, Marshal Management Company, dba: The Company Store, 200 North Main Street; California Gift Shop, 12 East Ogden Ave.; Fremont Gift Shop, 200 Fremont Street, Mary A. Dolezal, Mgr - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Manager for Gift Shop Limited Licenses

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Stockholder for a Tavern Liquor License and a Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots, Snick's Place, Inc., dba Snick's Place, 1402 South 3rd Street, Dominick R. Vitale, 100% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Stockholder for a Tavern Liquor License and a Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 4 slots, Sunset Coin, Inc., db at Big Bundles Laundromat & Cleaners, 2071 North Jones Blvd. - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 4 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots, Sunset Coin, Inc., db at Allstar Minimart, 2339 North Jones Blvd. - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Marcia L. Denino, dba Marcia's Medicinal Massage, 5353 West Desert Inn Rd., #2151, Marcia L. Denino, 100% - (County)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – **UNANIMOUS** with **L.B. McDONALD** abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and **MACK** excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Laurie Lee D'Andrea, dba Laurie Lee D'Andrea, 2620 Regatta Drive, Suite 112, Laurie L. D'Andrea, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Jon Porter, dba Jon's Massage, 9424 Deer Lodge Lane, John P. Porter, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Karen M. Lane, dba Karen M. Lane, 10508 Jeremy Pointe Ave., Karen M. Lane, 100% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – **UNANIMOUS** with **L.B. McDONALD** abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and **MACK** excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Anthony Terracciano, dba Anthony Terracciano, 3852 Alice Lane, Anthony Terracciano, 100% - (County)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Kimberly K. Schrag, dba
Therapeutic Concepts, 3955 Algonquin Drive, #124, Kimberly K. Schrag, 100% - (County)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – **UNANIMOUS** with **L.B. McDONALD** abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and **MACK** excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License, Cassie Winters, dba Cassie Winters, From: 1609 Desert Canyon Court, To: 6491 Pine Hill Ave., Cassie Winters, 100% - (County)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License, Constance Pooley, dba Constance Pooley, From: 1616 Houssels Ave., To: 4516 Red Cider Lane, Constance E. Pooley, 100% - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – **UNANIMOUS** with **L.B. McDONALD** abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and **MACK** excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License, Melinda Turlington, dba Progressive Wellness & Relaxation, From: 4040 Boulder Highway, Unit 2030, To: 825 Sierra Vista Drive, Building 1-120, Melinda G. Turlington, 100% - (County)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location/Business Name for an Independent Massage Therapist License, Paula Williams, dba From: Tender Touch Massage, 7400 Pirates Cove Road, #135, To: Healing Touch Massage, 3940 Algonquin Drive, #155, Paula Williams, 100% - (County)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location/Business Name for an Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – **UNANIMOUS** with **L.B. McDONALD** abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and **MACK** excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Massage Establishment License, Internal Health Services, Inc., dba Internal Health, 9340 West Sahara Ave., Suite 204, Norman P. Vice, Pres, Secy, Treas, 50%, Carol A. Vice, Dir, 50% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Massage Establishment License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Massage Establishment License, Chair Massage of Southern Nevada, LLC, dba Chair Massage of Southern Nevada, LLC, 1101 South Fort Apache Road, Rosemarie Arroyo, Mmbr, 100% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Massage Establishment

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Massage Establishment License, Zhu Hua Liu, dba 5000 Oakey Health Center, 5000 West Oakey Blvd., Suite D-2, Zhu H. Liu, 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Massage Establishment License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License, Kimberly Ivanick, 2225 Wilhemina Circle, Kimberly Ivanick, 100% - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License, Keila Jacobo, dba Keila's Day Care, 1901 Embrey Ave., Keila Jacobo, 100% - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License, Kelly Skillman, 7320 Stoney Shores Drive, Kelly Skillman, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Bid Number 01.1730.11-RC, Alta Drive Improvements - Phase II, Rancho Drive to Martin Luther King Boulevard; and approve the construction conflicts and contingency reserve set by Finance and Business Services - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: LAS VEGAS PAVING CORPORATION (\$5,109,928 - Regional Transportation Commission and Enterprise Fund) - Wards 5 and 3 (Weekly and Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$5,109,928

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: RTC and Enterprise Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The work to be performed under this contract consists of roadway infrastructure improvements including, but not limited to, the removal of asphalt concrete pavement, concrete curb & gutter and median islands; the construction of storm drain improvements; 60 inch diameter sanitary sewer improvements; and the installation of two new traffic signals at the Rancho Drive/Alta Drive intersection and the Alta Drive/Tonopah Drive intersection.

POC: Cory Newcombe - (702) 251-5800

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 01.1730.11-RC, Alta Drive Improvements - Phase II, Rancho Drive to Martin Luther King Boulevard to Las Vegas Paving Corporation in the amount of \$5,109,928 and approve a construction conflicts and contingency reserve of \$400,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Finance and Business Services
Item 30 – Bid No. 01.1730.11-RC

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of rejection of bid and award of Bid Number 01.15301.13-LED, Dexter Park Rehab; and approve the construction conflicts and contingency reserve set by Finance & Business Services - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: CG&B ENTERPRISES, INC.. (\$484,947 - Capital Projects Fund) - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$484,947

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The construction will consist of the demolition and removal of restroom building and construction of a new 287sf restroom building; playground equipment; sand; resilient surfacing; fencing; picnic table slab; sod; CMU Wall and other related work at Dexter Park located at NW corner of Trent Ave. and Upland Blvd.

The low bidder Reiger Construction failed to provide a description of work to be done by their subcontractors, which is a requirement of NRS 338.141, and therefore is considered non-responsive.

POC: Michael McComb - (702) 565-6564

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the rejection of Reiger Construction bid as non-responsive and approve the award of Bid Number 01.15301.13-LED, Dexter Park Rehab to CG&B Enterprises, Inc., in the amount of \$484,947 and approve a construction conflicts and contingency reserve of \$48,495.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Finance and Business Services
Item 31 – Bid No. 01.15301.13-LED

MINUTES:

MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services, explained that the lowest non-responsive bidder was Reiger Construction, who communicated that their rejection for non-compliance should be reconsidered. Reiger Construction's bid was rejected because Reiger Construction failed to comply with NRS 338.141, which requires that general contractors list their subcontractors that will perform at least 5% of the total value of construction work at the time of bid. Two additional hours are allowed for the general contractors to submit the 1% list of subcontractors along with the type of work to be performed. The reason for that requirement is to prevent bid shopping and to ensure that all the contractors are on a fair and equal playing field and that proper licensing is in place.

In addition, R-31-2001 implemented a new bid protest procedure that requires the protestor to file a security deposit of 5% of the bid amount, with which Reiger Construction also did not comply. The Resolution also indicates that the City Council will not consider any protests if this procedure is not followed. He recommended approval of the rejection of the bid.

ROBERT VINCENT (no relation to MARK VINCENT), Reiger Construction, stated that he has every respect for the laws that were put in place to ensure general contractors a fair playing field. However, he felt that in this case an honest mistake has turned out to be a horror story for Reiger Construction. He insisted that the subcontractor was listed along with the license number as required, but their area of work, which is grading, was not listed. He opined that it would be terrible to hold Reiger Construction to the letter of the law on this minor mistake. MR. M. VINCENT countered that there were three subcontractors and none of them included descriptions of their trade. Even though it was a mistake, he recommended rejection.

COUNCILMAN REESE indicated that contractors are encouraged to attend pre-bid conferences in order to be informed of the specifications. He felt that the process must remain fair. He recommended approval of the rejection.

There was no further discussion.

(9:28 – 9:35)
1-580

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Bid Number 010039-TC, Annual Requirements Contract for Various Plumbing Supplies - Various Departments - Award recommended to: VARIOUS VENDORS (Estimated annual aggregate amount of \$130,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$130,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Various Departments

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request will provide for various plumbing supplies to be used in the daily operations within the City of Las Vegas facilities.

POC: Kelly's Pipe and Supply - Chris Dresch - (702) 383-4957

POC: Standard Wholesale - Tammy Robertson - (702) 382-6930

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 010039-TC, Annual Requirements Contract for Various Plumbing Supplies to Various Vendors from date of award through August 31, 2002, with four (4) one-year options to renew in the estimated annual aggregate amount of \$130,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to award a Master Software Agreement for software, annual software maintenance, and associated services to support development of templates for the City's Web Site (LR) - Information Technologies - Award recommended to: REDDOT SOLUTIONS CORPORATION (\$94,800 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$94,800

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Information Technologies

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Agreement will provide for the initial purchase of software, annual software maintenance, and ten days of professional services for the development of templates that will give each Department the ability to update information on the City's Web Site. The Agreement also establishes the option to purchase additional software licenses for one year and establishes three (3) one-year options for annual software maintenance. The City will receive a discount on software licenses for participating as a "showcase" site.

POC: Ric Medina (408) 970-0302, x203

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Master Software Agreement to Reddot Solutions Corporation in the amount of \$94,800 with a one (1) year option to purchase additional software licenses and three (3) one-year options for annual software maintenance.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Master Software Agreement
2. Disclosure of Ownership/Principals Certificate

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 33 – Approval to award a Master Software Agreement for the City's Web Site to Reddot Solutions Corporation

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICE

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Bid Number 010096-TC, Annual Requirements Contract for Poly and Plastic Bags - Various Departments - Award recommended to: UNISOURCE (Estimated annual amount of \$50,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$50,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Various Departments

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request will provide for the purchase of poly and plastic bags to be used by various departments within the City of Las Vegas.

POC: Susan Pasillas - (800) 914-7735

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 010096-TC, Annual Requirements Contract for Poly and Plastic Bags to Unisource, from date of award through September 30, 2002, with four (4) one-year options to renew in the estimated annual amount of \$50,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of the issuance of a purchase order for pre-hire drug testing of all prospective new hire employees, for the fiscal year July 1, 2001 - June 30, 2002 (JDF) - Department of Human Resources - Award recommended to: ASSOCIATED PATHOLOGIST LABORATORIES (Estimated amount of \$40,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount: \$40,000**☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division: Human Resources**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source: General Fund****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This requirement is for testing all new hire adult employees at \$50 per person, and testing of minor hires for the Summer Programs at \$28 per person.

This item is exempt from competitive bidding under NRS 332.115.1(b), Professional Services.

POC: Stephanie Windom - (702) 733-3748

RECOMMENDATION:

That City Council approve the issuance of a purchase order for new hire drug tests to Associated Pathologists Laboratories in the amount of \$40,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:**APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)****Item 43:****STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)****MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of the issuance of a purchase order for the purchase of two (2) 2001 Freightliner Type I Road Rescue Ultramedic rescue units - Department of Fire & Rescue - Award recommended to: LEADER INDUSTRIES (\$323,418 - Internal Service Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$323,418

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Fire & Rescue

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Internal Service Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request will provide for the purchase of two (2) 2001 Freightliner Type I Road Rescue Ultramedic rescue units to be used by the Fire & Rescue Department for response to emergency calls throughout the City of Las Vegas.

This item is exempt from competitive bidding requirements pursuant to NRS 332.115.1(d), equipment which, by reason of the training of the personnel or of an inventory of replacement parts maintained by the local government, is compatible with existing equipment.

POC: Paul Hubbard - (626) 575-0880

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the issuance of a purchase order for the purchase of two (2) 2001 Freightliner Type I Road Rescue Ultramedic rescue units to Leader Industries in the amount of \$323,418.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 36 – Issuance of a purchase order for two Freightliner Type I road Rescue Ultramedic rescue units to Leader Industries

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FIRE & RESCUE

DIRECTOR: DAVID L. WASHINGTON

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a technical service support agreement between Medtronic Physio-Control and the City of Las Vegas for the Lifepak 12 emergency equipment (\$118,192.16 - Internal Service Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$118,192.16

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Fire/Rescue - Support Services

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Internal Service Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This technical service support agreement covers repair, inspections, upgrades, and maintenance of our Lifepak 12 emergency equipment. The agreement begins on 11/1/00 and expires 10/31/05. This is a contract renewal and the total cost is over a five (5) year period.

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval of the agreement.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Technical Service Support Agreement from Medtronic Physio-Control

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FIRE AND RESCUE

DIRECTOR: DAVID L. WASHINGTON

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of agreement between the City of Las Vegas and the American Red Cross, Southern Nevada Chapter for the management of the Community Emergency Response Team volunteer program (\$49,345 - FEMA Grant)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$49,345

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Fire /Rescue - Admin. Division

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Federal Emergency Management Agency - Project IMPACT Grant

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City of Las Vegas was the recipient of a Federal Emergency Management Agency Project Impact grant to assist in building a disaster resistant community. Of the original \$400,000 grant, \$127,195 was designated for the establishment of a Community Emergency Response Team Program in cooperation with a community partner. The American Red Cross, Southern Nevada Chapter currently manages a significant volunteer program with emphasis on emergency response throughout the community and the nation. As the premier volunteer emergency response agency, the local chapter of the American Red Cross along with financial support through the Project Impact grant is able to expand their program to meet the requirements of the grant and provide enhanced services to the citizens of the City of Las Vegas.

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval of the agreement.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. FEMA FORM 20-20 - Budget Information - Nonconstruction Programs
2. FEMA FORM 20-22 - Narrative Statement
3. FEMA FORM 76-10A JUN 94 - Obligor Document for Award/Amendment
4. Agreement between the American Red Cross and the City of Las Vegas

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001

Fire & Rescue

Item 38 – Approval of agreement between the City of Las Vegas and the American Red Cross, Southern Nevada Chapter, for the management of the Community Emergency Response Team volunteer program

MOTION – Continued:

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: HUMAN RESOURCES

DIRECTOR: F. CLAUDETTE ENUS

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to extend temporary Trolley Operator position (\$26,535 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$26,535

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Field Operations

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Effective January 2, 2001, free trolley service began with a route from City Hall to DSC, to 4th & Carson Parking Garage, to Dula Center and returning to City Hall. The initial trial period has lapsed (6months), and there has been no desire to end the route. A request was made for a new position, but it was denied in the Budget cycle. It is requested that this temporary assignment be continued for another 6 months or until directed by Management to cease route operation.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the temporary Trolley Operator position be extended for 6 months.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Human Resources Employee/Position Request (EPR) form.

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: MUNICIPAL COURT**DIRECTOR: KEITH GRONQUIST**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval to increase current Purchase Order (PO210338) for first party receivable management collection agency services agreement with NCO Financial Systems, Inc. beginning June 1, 2001 through December 31, 2001 (\$143,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$143,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Municipal Court/SED☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Fines and Fees**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

An increase to the current Purchase Order for NCO is necessary because payment to NCO is contingency fee based (as opposed to a flat rate) and NCO has collected more in delinquent fines and fees than projected. Based on the outstanding performance over the past six months by NCO, the requested increase to this Purchase Order should satisfy the remaining service agreement period.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City approve an increase to the current Purchase Order (PO210338) for the first party collection agency services agreement with NCO Financial Systems, Inc. beginning June 1, 2001 through December 31, 2001 in the amount of \$143,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. November 15, 2000 Extension and Second Amendment to Collection Agency Services Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:**APPROVED under separate action** (see individual items)**Item 43:****STRICKEN under separate action** (see individual item)**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES

DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an extension to July 18, 2002 for Tom Hom Group to use \$4,122,000 of the 1999/2000 Private Activity Bond Volume Cap for new construction of 51 rental units and 4,100 square feet of retail space at L'Octaine located at Gass and Las Vegas Boulevard - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Private Activity Bond Allocation was given by Resolution on June 21, 2000 to the Tom Hom Group with a Bond issue date of August 18, 2000.

This extension to July 18, 2002 will allow the developer to complete his financial documents as required by the State of Nevada Housing Division.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is the recommendation of the City Manager that an extension to July 18, 2002 be approved.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES

DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an extension to July 18, 2002 for Tom Hom Group to use \$5,000,000 of the 2000/2001 Private Activity Bond Volume Cap for new construction of live/work lofts and 3,300 square feet of retail space at Kirby Lofts located at Hoover and Las Vegas Boulevard - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Private Activity Bond Allocation was given by Resolution on June 21, 2000 to the Tom Hom Group with a Bond issue date of August 1, 2001.

This extension to July 18, 2002 will allow the developer to complete his financial documents as required by the State of Nevada Housing Division.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is the recommendation of the City Manager that an extension to July 18, 2002 be approved.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Policy Setting Forth the Qualifications and Procedures of Willing Buyer/Willing Seller Program for the Durango Drive - Phase III "S" Curve Improvements Project - Tropical Parkway to Centennial Parkway subject to Regional Transportation Commission approval (\$660,000 - Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$660,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineers

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: RTC

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This item is related to Agenda Item # 47 of the City Council meeting of March 15, 2000, which directed staff to appraise and purchase adjacent parcels identified under this program. This request for approval under the Willing Buyer/Willing Seller Program will appear on the August 9, 2001 Regional Transportation Board Agenda. Acquisition costs will not exceed \$660,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Policy Setting Forth the Qualifications and Procedures of Willing Buyer/Willing Seller Program

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 43 and 73 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 68 to 8/1/2001 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:26 – 9:27)

1-498

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a First Supplemental Interlocal Contract LAS.10.R.00 by and between the City of Las Vegas and Clark County Regional Flood Control District for construction and construction management funding of Gowan North - Buffalo Branch (Cheyenne Avenue to Lone Mountain Road) - (\$2,150,000 - Clark County Regional Flood Control District) - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$2,150,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineers

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: RFCDD

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This Supplemental Interlocal Contract LAS.10.R.00 provides for reimbursement by the District for expense incurred by the City after November 9, 2000 for Gowan North Buffalo Branch (Cheyenne Avenue to Lone Mountain Road) construction and construction management costs. The CCRFCD approved this contract at their June 14, 2001 Board meeting. Total costs for this project shall not exceed \$2,150,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

First Supplemental Interlocal Contract LAS.10.R.00

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a First Supplemental Interlocal Contract LAS.14.B.00 by and between the City of Las Vegas and Clark County Regional Flood Control District for construction and construction management funding of Washington Avenue (I-15 to Martin Luther King) and Freeway Channel North (Washington Avenue to Vegas Drive) - (\$5,150,000 - Clark County Regional Flood Control District) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$5,150,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineers

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: RFCD

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This Supplemental Interlocal Contract LAS.14.B.00 provides for reimbursement by the District for expense incurred by the City after November 9, 2000 for construction and construction management of Washington Avenue (I-15 to Martin Luther King) and Freeway Channel North (Washington Avenue to Vegas Drive). The CCRFCD approved this contract at their June 14, 2001 Board meeting. Total costs for this project shall not exceed \$5,150,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

First Supplemental Interlocal Contract LAS.14.B.00

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Interlocal Contract For Construction LAS.16.D.01 by and between the City and Clark County Regional Flood Control District to set a priority for funding for construction and construction management for Ann Road -Allen Lane to Rancho Drive (\$9,450,000 - Clark County Regional Flood Control District) - (City of North Las Vegas) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$9,450,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineers

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: RFCDD

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This Interlocal Contract LAS.16.D.01 will set a priority for funding by the Clark County Regional Flood Control District providing for reimbursement by the District for expense incurred by the City for construction and construction management of Ann Road -Allen Lane to Rancho Drive. The CCRFCD approved this contract at their June 14, 2001 Board meeting. Total costs for this project shall not exceed \$9,450,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Interlocal Contract For Construction LAS.16.D.01

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Interlocal Contract by and between the City and Clark County Regional Flood Control District for the Annual Maintenance Program for the fiscal year 2001 - 2002 (\$1,287,000 - Clark County Regional Flood Control District) - All Wards

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$1,287,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineers

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: RFCD

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This Interlocal Contract applies to the maintenance of flood control facilities, which are identified in the District's Master Plan facilities including updates and amendments subsequently approved. The project is more specifically described in Exhibits "A" and "B" which are incorporated within the Interlocal Contract. The Clark County Regional Flood Control District approved this item at their June 14, 2001 meeting. Total funding for this project shall not exceed \$1,287,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Interlocal Contract

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Cooperative Agreement by and between the City of Las Vegas and State of Nevada Department of Transportation, allowing them to perform work within the City's right-of-way for improvements in association with the US95 widening from I-15 to Rainbow Boulevard - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineers

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This agreement is to allow the State of Nevada Department of Transportation to perform work within the City's right-of-way for the improvements in association with the US95 Widening.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Cooperative Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Engineering Design Services Agreement with Baughman and Turner, Inc. for engineering services for the Stewart Avenue Sewer and Pavement Rehabilitation Project between Main Street and 7th Street (alley) and the pavement repair between Las Vegas Boulevard and Maryland Parkway (\$125,460.17 - Street Rehabilitation and \$74,305 - Sanitation Fund - Total \$199,766.17) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$199,766.17

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Street Rehabilitation/Sanitation Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City of Las Vegas (CLV) desires to obtain quality professional services to perform design and preparation of bid documents for both the sewer in Stewart Avenue from Main Street to 7th Street and pavement rehabilitation between Las Vegas Blvd. and Maryland Parkway.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Engineering Design Services Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an encroachment request from Kevin Churnock on behalf of Gerald A. & Ilene S. Sraberg, owners (southeast corner of Commerce Street and Charleston Boulevard) - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The encroachment will consist of an approximate 2.5' to 4' wide strip of landscaping along the east side of Commerce Street extending approximately 100' along the property line consisting of trees and shrubs of various heights and sizes with an irrigation system to satisfy a condition of V-37-00 for a proposed retail building. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of liability, maintenance, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map (southeast corner of Commerce Street and Charleston Boulevard)

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an encroachment request from Martin & Martin Civil Engineers on behalf of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada, owner (Foremaster Lane between Las Vegas Boulevard and Main Street) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The encroachment will consist of an approximate 5' to 6.5' wide strip of landscape on the south side of Foremaster Lane extending approximately 562' between Las Vegas Boulevard and Main Street consisting of proposed decomposed granite, trees and shrubs, an irrigation system, wrought iron and pilaster fence and an existing chain-link fence and 4" storm drain to satisfy a condition of U-20-91(1) for the proposed Don Reynolds Building at St. Vincent's Plaza. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an encroachment agreement which has conditions of liability, maintenance and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map (northwest corner of Foremaster Lane and Las Vegas Boulevard)
2. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "B"/Vicinity Map (northeast corner of Foremaster Lane and Main Street)

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Sewer Connection request from Oliver Seymour and America Seymour, owners (4345 Al Carrison Street, APN #138-04-301-014) - County (near Ward 4)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request is for approval of an existing sewer connection located at the northwest corner of Al Carrison Street and Helena Avenue. The owner is connected to an existing 12" main line located in Al Carrison Street. The Planning Department has determined the project does conform to the City's General Plan for the area; there is sufficient capacity in the City Sanitary Sewer. The applicant has signed a "Sewer Connection Agreement" and "Petition for Annexation."

RECOMMENDATION:

Public Works recommends approval subject to conformance with all City codes and departmental standards and off-site improvements.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda memo

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an encroachment request from K M W, LLC, owner (northeast corner of Gowan Road and Buffalo Drive) - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed encroachment will consist of landscaping on extending approximately 50' along the Gowan Road property line and extending approximately 22' along the Buffalo Drive property line and also at the northeast corner of the intersection between a radius of 25' and 50' consisting of a 16" tall flood wall, ground cover, trees, shrubs, and an irrigation system to satisfy a condition of Z-73-99 for the proposed Gowan/Buffalo Dental office. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of liability, maintenance, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map (northeast corner of Gowan Road and Buffalo Drive)

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES

DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-87-2001 - Approval of a Resolution to transfer \$21,928,865 of 2001/2002 Private Activity Bond Volume Cap - \$12,752,219 to Community Development Programs Center of Nevada to construct a new affordable senior housing project at Eastern and Searles and \$9,176,646 to the State Housing Division for the single family first time homebuyer loan program - All Wards

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Resolution to transfer \$21,928,865 of the City of Las Vegas' 2001/2002 Private Activity Bond Volume Cap - \$12,752,219 to Community Development Programs Center of Nevada to construct a new affordable senior housing project and \$9,176,646 to the State Housing Division for the single family first time homebuyer loan program.

RECOMMENDATION:

The City Manager recommends that the City Council approve the Resolution and authorize the Mayor to execute the Agreements with the subrecipients after they have been approved by Council.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-87-2001

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and MACK excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-88-2001 - Approval of a Resolution directing the City Treasurer to prepare the First Assessment Lien Apportionment Report regarding: Special Improvement District No. 1430 (Sawtooth Streets Phase I) (Levy Assessments) - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount: N/A

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Levy Assessment

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Installation of street paving, valley gutters and curbs and gutters.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-88-2001

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – **UNANIMOUS** with **L.B. McDONALD** abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and **MACK** excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-89-2001 - Approval of a Resolution approving the First Assessment Lien Apportionment Report regarding: Special Improvement District No. 1430 (Sawtooth Streets Phase I) (Levy Assessments) - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount: N/A

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Levy Assesment

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Installation of street paving, valley gutters and curbs and gutters.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-89-2001

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – **UNANIMOUS** with **L.B. McDONALD** abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and **MACK** excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-90-2001 - Approval of a Resolution directing the City Treasurer to prepare the Seventh Assessment Lien Apportionment Report regarding: Special Improvement District No. 1447 - Buffalo/Cheyenne Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount: N/A

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Levy Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Installation of paving, "L" type curb and gutter, sidewalk, streetlights, commercial driveway approaches, potable water laterals, a potable water distribution main, sanitary sewer laterals, and a sanitary sewer collection main along Washington Avenue, Buffalo Drive and Cheyenne Avenue. The parcel addressed in this resolution is located on the north side of Cheyenne Avenue, west of Tenaya Way in Ward 4.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-90-2001

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – **UNANIMOUS** with **L.B. McDONALD** abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and **MACK** excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-91-2001 - Approval of a Resolution approving the Seventh Assessment Lien Apportionment Report regarding: Special Improvement District No. 1447 - Buffalo/Cheyenne Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount: N/A

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Levy Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Installation of paving, "L" type curb and gutter, sidewalk, streetlights, commercial driveway approaches, potable water laterals, a potable water distribution main, sanitary sewer laterals, and a sanitary sewer collection main along Washington Avenue, Buffalo Drive and Cheyenne Avenue. The parcel addressed in this resolution is located on the north side of Cheyenne Avenue, west of Tenaya Way in Ward 4.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-91-2001

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – **UNANIMOUS** with **L.B. McDONALD** abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and **MACK** excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-92-2001 - Approval of a Resolution amending Schedule 25-II to change the speed limit from 25 mph to 35 mph on Crestdale Lane from Trailwood Drive to Hillpointe Road - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/Traffic Engineering

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Crestdale Lane is a 4-lane, 80-foot curvilinear roadway. Traffic studies have shown that the 85th percentile speed is in excess of 40 mph. The 35 mph speed limit will be consistent with the speed limit on Crestdale Lane south of Trailwood Drive.

RECOMMENDATION:

Traffic and Parking Commission: Approval

Staff: Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Map
2. Resolution No. R-92-2001

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – **UNANIMOUS** with **L.B. McDONALD** abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and **MACK** excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-93-2001 - Approval of a Resolution amending Schedule 25-II to change the speed limit from 25 mph to 35 mph on Grand Canyon Drive between Cheyenne Avenue and Alexander Road - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/Traffic Engineering

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Grand Canyon Drive has an 80-foot right-of-way. There are no homes facing the street. Traffic studies conducted on Grand Canyon Drive showed that the 85th percentile speed was 39 mph, so 35 mph would be an appropriate speed limit for this stretch of roadway.

RECOMMENDATION:

Traffic and Parking Commission: Approval

Staff: Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Map
2. Resolution No. R-93-2001

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-30, 32-42, and 44-60 – **UNANIMOUS** with **L.B. McDONALD** abstaining on Item 51 because she serves as a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada and **MACK** excused

Items 31 and 61:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual items)

Item 43:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:27 – 9:28)

1-545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-94-2001 - Approval of a Resolution amending Schedule 25-IV to change the speed limit from 25 mph to 45 mph on Craig Road from 750 feet west of US 95 to the West City Limits - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/Traffic Engineering

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Staff is proposing a 45 mph speed limit on Craig Road from US 95 to the West City Limits, currently west of Buffalo Drive. The speed limit on the US 95 overpass, which belongs to NDOT, is 35 mph. Traffic studies conducted on Craig Road showed that the 85th percentile speed was 50 mph. Craig Road has a 100-foot right-of-way and has recently been fully improved, so it is now designed to carry traffic at 45 mph.

RECOMMENDATION:

Traffic and Parking Commission: Approval

Staff: Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Map
2. Resolution No. R-94-2001

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED a 40 mph speed limit on Craig Road from Tenaya to Buffalo, subject to a one-year (7/17/2002) review – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN BROWN explained that he requested this matter be pulled from the Consent Agenda for discussion because he wanted to find out how the City determines speed limits on different streets.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001

Public Works

Item 61 – R-94-2001

MINUTES - Continued:

RICHARD GOECKE, Director, Public Works Department, interjected that the method by which speed limits are set has changed as a result of the Federal Highway Administration, so there is less flexibility in setting speed limits for the municipalities.

O.C. WHITE, Traffic Engineering, Public Works Department, advised that the City was asked to do a speed survey on the subject area to assist in determining the speed limit. This portion of Craig Road was recently improved to full-width, allowing for two lanes in each direction. The road section east of US-95 is developed out to six lanes with a speed limit of 45 mph. When a traffic study was done, it was found that the 85th percentile range was from 45 to 50 mph. The speed limit over the bridge is maintained at 35 mph because there is a visibility problem. The section west of US-95 was recommended for a speed limit of 45 mph because the study showed that the average speed is 49 mph; however, the law allows a ten-mile range, so the Council has the ultimate discretion.

COUNCILMAN BROWN pointed out that the courts have indicated that speed limits need to be set within the ten-mile range of the 85th percentile, plus there is other criteria that needs to be considered. He indicated that he would like the speed limit set at 40 mph in consideration of the school crossing located halfway on this stretch of Craig Road. MR. GOECKE commented that because of recent court decisions speed limits must be set within 10 mph; if not, they could be considered as speed traps, making the citations issued non-enforceable.

There was no further discussion.

(9:35 – 9:41)

1-826

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE**DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****ADMINISTRATIVE:**

Report and possible action on the City of Las Vegas Strategic Planning process followed by the first in a series of departmental overview reports of core services, goals, and strategies as outlined in the Fiscal Year 2002 departmental business plans

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The presentations of the five departments are the initial step in our four-step process of strategic planning and service delivery business planning effort. At subsequent meetings, the remaining departments and offices will also present key elements of their plans. Each department will highlight their purpose (mission), core service areas, and major goals and strategies. These informative presentations are designed to establish a foundation for the Mayor and Council for the priority-setting workshop in August. The following departments will be presenting: Office of Business Development, Field Operations, Finance & Business Services, City Auditor, and Planning & Development.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council accept the presentation and reports.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Strategic Planning Process diagram

Submitted after the meeting: hard copy of PowerPoint presentation given by each of the aforementioned departments

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

CITY MANAGER VALENTINE indicated that her staff has been working with the directors to develop the beginning of the fundamental review process of the various departments. The first group consists of the Office of Business Development, City Auditor, Field Operations, Finance and Business Services, and Planning and Development. The directors from each of these departments would be presenting their individual business plans with the intent of discussing the

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001

Administrative

Item 62 – Report and possible action on the City of Las Vegas Strategic Planning process followed by the first in a series departmental overview reports of core services, goals, and strategies as outlined in the Fiscal Year 2002 departmental business plans

MINUTES – Continued:

core of the services each department provides and to highlight some of their individual strategic goals and initiatives. These are not meant as day-to-day operational plans and will serve to clarify how City departments are implementing services to citizens and supporting and implementing the City Council's Strategic Plan for the City.

The purpose of these plans is to provide a clear understanding of all City operations, with the anticipation that those plans will serve as the Council's direct link from Council priorities to the department budgets and operations. Given this clear understanding of City operations, the City Council will meet in August to establish a common and agreed upon set of priorities. The next step in the process will then be to align those City priorities with the resources and the operations of the departments.

In November, staff will begin to modify City activities to match the available resources and priorities. It is expected that these steps will result in the alignment of City operations with the priorities afforded by funding in the budget process. Throughout the year staff will continue to provide the City Council with updated business plans, as well as ongoing Strategic Plan updates integrated with the budget process.

As CITY MANAGER VALENTINE indicated, DEPUTY CITY MANAGERS HOUCHENS and SELBY respectively introduced each of the department directors of their subordinate departments and gave a brief overview of their functions: LESA CODER, Office of Business Development; RADFORD SNELDING, City Auditor; MARK VINCENT, Finance and Business Services; LARRY HAUGSNESS, Field Operations; and ROBERT GENZER, Planning and Development.

Using a PowerPoint presentation, which is made a part of the Final Minutes, the directors individually reviewed their departmental mission statement, core services, goals, and corresponding strategies to accomplish those goals.

COUNCILMAN BROWN indicated that in going over the business plans he was concerned to find that categories of fiscal responsibility and alignment to City priorities were left blank in most of the submittals. He questioned where the fiscal responsibility lies in relation to business or strategic planning where each department is going to be looking at labor costs, other costs involved, efficiencies, and eliminating redundancies. He also asked if this discussion would be held at the upcoming retreat in Mesquite. ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER FRETWELL advised that the charts and business plans COUNCILMAN BROWN referred to give the departments the

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001**Administrative**

Item 62 – Report and possible action on the City of Las Vegas Strategic Planning process followed by the first in a series departmental overview reports of core services, goals, and strategies as outlined in the Fiscal Year 2002 departmental business plans

MINUTES – Continued:

opportunity to show their goals and strategies for improvement that link up with the priority areas that have been established by the Council to date. As a part of the City's ongoing mission, staff always seeks to improve efficiency and effectiveness of service delivery, so it is almost an underwriting value. In the latter two phases of this project, adjustments and alignments will be made, which will be an opportune time to identify some areas that might fall under the fiscal responsibility category. Currently, some departments have put their individual strategies in the fiscal responsibility category, others under customer service. These are some of the details that staff will have to work through after the retreat and then make the necessary adjustments.

As far as positions, hiring freezes, labor costs, and next year's budget cycle, COUNCILMAN BROWN asked how that would fit into the alignment of City priorities. CITY MANAGER VALENTINE explained that that would require a process that would start after the Mesquite retreat. The idea now is to introduce and cover all of the programs and services that the City offers; during the retreat in Mesquite, to establish the Council's top priorities; and then after the retreat, staff is to take on the more difficult task of aligning the Council's priorities, making adjustments, and allocating the available resources to the Council's priorities.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD asked MR. SNELDING how auditing goes about identifying the types of audits that will be performed for a calendar year. MR. SNELDING replied that first the auditable entities are identified within the City, and then prioritized according to risk level. Based on that, some high-, medium-, and low-risk audits will be performed in an annual audit plan.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD noted that she is working with MR. VINCENT, through the Debt Management Commission, on the issue of overlapping debt. Because while the City has set its own fiscal priorities, some of the policy direction from other entities that are independent of the City, such as the Library District, Clark County, or State of Nevada, can impact the City's taxpayers and impact the City's ability to be able to issue future bonds. MR. VINCENT's department has played a major role in this area that is of increasing concern, as the City approaches the cap.

COUNCILMAN REESE indicated that the greatness of this City is due to the work of the department directors and they have done a fantastic job of preparing and showing what they offer for the future.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001

Administrative

Item 62 – Report and possible action on the City of Las Vegas Strategic Planning process followed by the first in a series departmental overview reports of core services, goals, and strategies as outlined in the Fiscal Year 2002 departmental business plans

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN directed CITY MANAGER VALENTINE to identify the current redundancies that exist among the departments, citing as an example MS. CODER's indication that her department is involved in grant funding, plans review, and marketing, which are tasks that have been traditionally handled through other departments. Understanding that there may be some overlap in coordination, COUNCILMAN BROWN would like these redundancies clarified so that focused discussion can occur on this issue at the retreat in Mesquite.

There was no further discussion.

(9:41 – 10:35)

1-1022

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE

DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Report and possible action on the downtown monorail extension and directing staff to initiate negotiations; take any other actions necessary to support the monorail extension project

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

City staff have been working with the Regional Transportation Commission and the private sector developers of the monorail project being developed along the Las Vegas resort corridor. The goal is to expand the project to include an extension of the monorail to downtown Las Vegas. Discussions have been very encouraging and have reached a point where it would be appropriate for the City Council to reiterate its support for this project and direct staff to initiate negotiations and other actions in support of the project.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council receive a report and direct staff to initiate negotiations with Transit Systems Development, L.L.C. and take other actions necessary to facilitate the extension of the monorail project to downtown Las Vegas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Map of downtown route
2. September 15, 1999 Council minutes
3. Submitted at the meeting: letter dated 6/14/2001 addressed to Ms. Valentine from Mr. Broadbent

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

DEPUTY CITY MANAGER SELBY stated that various presentations have been made on downtown redevelopment, and nothing is more important to that than an efficient transportation system for visitors and residents. One of the key components of this item is the potential to extend the monorail project from the Las Vegas Strip to the downtown area. The City has been working with the Regional Transportation Commission (RTC) since 1996 to develop some form

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001

Administrative

Item 63 – Report and possible action on the downtown monorail extension and directing staff to initiate negotiations; take any other actions necessary to support the monorail extension project

MINUTES – Continued:

of fixed guideway system to link downtown with the Strip. The Council adopted a fixed guideway route in February of 1997 and subsequently carried the concept forward in planning documents for downtown. The effort to bring the project to life took a huge jump forward when the Las Vegas Monorail Company obtained private financing to construct a monorail project from Tropicana to Sahara. Discussions with the RTC, the Las Vegas Monorail Company, and City staff have progressed over the past several months to the point that staff believes extension of the project to downtown is within reach. He requested that the Council authorize staff to negotiate with Transit Systems Development, LLC, the successor to the Las Vegas Monorail Company, for a franchise to develop and operate the downtown extension of the monorail.

He introduced ATTORNEY CHRIS BALLARD, Jones-Vargas, and CAM WALKER, Principal of Transit Systems Development, LLC, to provide further information.

ATTORNEY BALLARD indicated that on June 14, 2001, BOB BROADBENT, on behalf of Transit Systems Development, sent a letter to the City requesting a franchise to extend the monorail from the Strip to the downtown area. Unfortunately MR. BROADBENT could not be present to give the presentation; however, MR. WALKER would give a short presentation. MR. WALKER is critical to the process of extending the monorail to downtown. He pointed out that JOHN HAYCOCK, Chairman, Las Vegas Monorail Company, was in the audience. The Las Vegas Monorail Company is a non-profit entity that owns the existing Strip monorail and is working with Transit Systems Development, the RTC, and, hopefully, the City on making the downtown extension a reality.

MR. WALKER further clarified that he and MR. BROADBENT are partners with both Transit Systems Management and Transit Systems Development. Transit Systems Management is the management company that administers the affairs of the non-profit board, who is charged with construction and future operations of the monorail on the Strip corridor. He and MR. BROADBENT put Transit Systems Development together to kick-start the project to continue the momentum that was built with the Strip corridor and to continue to stress to the private sector that development of the monorail is crucial for the benefit of the community.

MR. WALKER continued and went over some of the details of the project. To date, over \$125 million have been expended on the monorail project. A groundbreaking ceremony should be held some time in August to show the community the project in detail. He presented renditions of the M-6 Monorail train, the Sahara Station, and the Imperial Palace Station. The M-6 Monorail train of transit grade, meets the standards of the RTC, and can be used anywhere because it is functional, efficient, and cost-effective. He noted that the Sahara Hotel has been very helpful in

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001

Administrative

Item 63 – Report and possible action on the downtown monorail extension and directing staff to initiate negotiations; take any other actions necessary to support the monorail extension project

MINUTES – Continued:

making sure the needs of the private sector are met; therefore, a truly private/public partnership is anticipated with the Sahara Hotel.

The monorail route currently under construction is from the MGM Hotel/Casino to the Sahara Hotel with seven stations. If the subject matter is approved, negotiations will commence on a franchise to expand the monorail from the Sahara Hotel northward into the City of Las Vegas.

He explained that the franchise was really the first step in a complex transaction. The MGM and Park Place was the LLC that received that franchise, initially, and then he and MR. BROADBENT put together an LLC to be the mechanism to move it forward. The formation of a franchise was very important in order to have a body to spearhead the project, move forward, and show the private sector how it could help the public sector provide an answer to a need of the community. Hopefully, such a franchise agreement will be developed with the City.

The franchise agreement for the County assisted in obtaining financing of \$650 million, and it carries some very important elements, such as setting fares, schedules, allowing for promotional activities, and advertising and lease space.

As far as the flow of funds, which are controlled by the non-profit board, MR. WALKER indicated that they are first targeted toward operations and maintenance to ensure that it will operate at the level of service that the community is accustomed to. Lastly, the non-profit board is charged with improving transportation services within the community.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD questioned the recent announcement made as far as matching funds. MR. WALKER explained that the congressional delegation, consisting of MR. BROADBENT, JACOB SNOW, and others, traveled to Washington, DC, to reassure the appropriate agencies that the monorail is of transit grade standards. With that, the congressional delegation was asked to write into statute that this monorail project could qualify for matching funds, because the private sector has stepped up and put \$650 million on the transportation solution instead of having to raise taxes. Part of the gas tax money goes towards rail transit; therefore, it cannot be applied towards anything else. So the congressional delegation, specifically SENATOR HARRY REID, has allowed this to count as a match and now the monorail company can exercise its ability to obtain \$155 million that has been authorized, but not appropriated, to the Las Vegas community and Southern Nevada. The goal is to work with the RTC to see the draft Environmental Impact Statement completed and enter into a fully funded grant agreement.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001

Administrative

Item 63 – Report and possible action on the downtown monorail extension and directing staff to initiate negotiations; take any other actions necessary to support the monorail extension project

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN McDONALD stated that it is relevant that the City be a part of this project, or downtown will really be impacted negatively. He asked for a more definitive timeline on what kind of money the City will have to come up with. MR. WALKER replied that one of the fundamental points is to reach an accord between the franchise and the private sector and move forward as quickly as possible to avoid train assembly shutdown, in which case it would take 20 months to complete the process. The other key point is the completion of the RTC's draft Environmental Impact Statement. He pointed out that in the case of the resort corridor segment, the private sector really stepped up and cooperated in giving up right-of-way, assisted with the connectors, and they issued subordinated debt. Cooperation from both the private and public sector really speeds up the process.

COUNCILMAN BROWN complemented MR. WALKER and MR. BROADBENT for their perseverance, because they initially faced a lot of obstacles from the private sector, the RTC, and the media. He felt that expanding the monorail system to the downtown system is critical.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked if public meetings would be held and whether a monorail system would impact the Citizens Area Transit (CAT) system. As far as public meetings, MR. WALKER indicated that he is well aware that an opportunity for the public to make comments is very important, and he welcomes public dialogue. With regard to the economic impact to the CAT system, MR. WALKER stated that studies show that there is a need to develop multiple modes of transportation in order to maximize their benefits. In the case of the Resort Corridor, a memorandum of understanding was reached with the RTC to work with them on a system that would allow ridership on the monorail to those persons with a bus card. With such a fast growing community, the goal is to work together and offer various forms of transportation.

MAYOR GOODMAN noted that the Council recognizes that the future well being of the City of Las Vegas is dependent upon this type of transportation. He asked when the City could expect the monorail to be completed from Sahara to Fremont Street. MR. WALKER stated that that segment is still a little questionable, but indicated that the resort corridor segment is contracted to finish on January 20, 2004. Hopefully an agreement will be reached in a timely manner so that the assembly line process is not interrupted and the monorail can continue from the Sahara Hotel northward for completion sometime in 2006.

MAYOR GOODMAN confirmed with MR. WALKER that financing from the City would come from the fares that would be collected as well as from federal funding. MR. WALKER indicated that there is also a federal grant that could be obtained that would have to be repaid.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001

Administrative

Item 63 – Report and possible action on the downtown monorail extension and directing staff to initiate negotiations; take any other actions necessary to support the monorail extension project

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN REESE expressed his appreciation to both MR. WALKER and MR. BROADBENT.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD directed CITY MANAGER VALENTINE to look into modes of transportation to get people to and from Cashman Field from the downtown monorail connecting station.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN requested that MR. WALKER provide him with simplified information he can use when he testifies before the Senate in Washington DC.

There was no further discussion.

(10:35 – 10:59)

1-3352/2-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding the use of Las Vegas Valley Water District Bid Number 4666-00 (TC) for the annual purchases of Biodiesel Blend Fuel - Department of Field Operations
- Award recommended to: HAYCOCK PETROLEUM (\$300,000 - Internal Service Fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$300,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Field Operations☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Internal Service Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In December 2000, the LVVWD approved the award of Bid Number 4666-00 for the purchase of Biodiesel Blend Fuel. This request will allow the City of Las Vegas to use the LVVWD bid to purchase biodiesel blend fuel for our use.

This purchase is exempt from the competitive bidding process pursuant to NRS 332.195, which allows local governments to join or use the contract of other local governments.

POC: Marty O'Connor - (702)382-1620

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the use of LVVWD Bid Number 4666-00 for the purchase of Biodiesel Blend Fuel from Haycock Petroleum in the annual amount of \$300,000, from date of award through December 31, 2001, with two (2) one-year options to renew.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services, and LARRY HAUGSNESS, Director, Field Operations Department, were present. MR. VINCENT stated that the item was in order and recommended approval. However, this is an innovative project that warranted some discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 64 – Discussion and possible action regarding the use of Las Vegas Valley Water District Bid Number 4666-00 for Biodiesel Blend Fuel

MINUTES – Continued:

MR. HAUGSNESS indicated that this project exemplifies the leadership role that the City has taken in the alternative fuels and clean air initiative. Firstly, he commended DAN HYDE, Manager, Fleet and Transportation Services, for his initiative on this project. He indicated that biodiesel is certified by the Environmental Protection Agency as an alternative fuel. Haycock Petroleum produces the product locally. The process consists of taking food wastes from major hotels, extracting the oils, and blending those with diesel fuel. The result is diesel fuel that burns about 70% cleaner, as far as PM-10 emissions. The cost is about \$24,000 more a year than the normal price of diesel fuel, but staff anticipates over half of that cost will be recuperated in improved fuel economy. The City is the first municipality in the country to enter into a contract for biodiesel.

MAYOR GOODMAN noted that the City is the first in many things.

There was no further discussion.

(10:59 – 11:02)

2-606

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Class II Secondhand Dealer License subject to the provisions of the fire and planning codes, Kid to Kid of Summerlin, LLC, dba Kid to Kid of Summerlin, LLC, 8450 West Sahara Ave., Suite 105, Tina M. Vialard, Mmbr, 50%, Jeffrey R. Vialard, Mmbr, 50% **(NOTE: Item to be heard the afternoon session in conjunction with Item #111 - Special Use Permit #U-0063-01) - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)**

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Class II Secondhand Dealer License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire and planning codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

M. McDONALD - APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services, indicated there are no areas of concern and recommended approval.

TINA and JEFF VIALARD, 10528 Meadow Mist Avenue, were present.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:04 – 2:09/2:34)

3-2068/3-3524

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Tavern Liquor License subject to the provisions of the planning codes, Meadowbrook Mountain Spa, LLC, dba Silver Stone Golf Club, 8317 Mount Geneva Court, Ronald F. LaVoie, Mgmt Cmtee Mmbr, Michael W. Blume, Mgmt Cmtee Mmbr, Meadowbrook Golf Group II, LP, 100% **(NOTE: Item to be heard the afternoon session in conjunction with Item #112 - Special Use Permit #U-0066-01) - Ward 6 (Mack)**

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Tavern Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

ATTORNEY TOM AMICK, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

There was no discussion.

(2:09 – 2:11)

3-2246

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a Change of Ownership/Business Name and Approval of Manager for a Class II Secondhand Dealer License, From: Morrow & Morrow, dba Children's Orchard (Rio Vista Plaza), Lillian C. Morrow and Brook S. Morrow, 100% jointly as husband and wife, To: Mari A. Alexander, dba Children's Orchard, 7035 West Ann Road, Suite 140, Mari A. Alexander, 100%, Alzenia O. Walls, Mgr - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a Change of Ownership/Business Name and Approval of Manager for a Class II Secondhand Dealer License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Mari Alexander
3. Letter from Brook and Lillian Morrow

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

MARI ALEXANDER, 1395 East Water Road, Gilbert, Arizona, stated that she is eager to do business with the City.

MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services, indicated there are no areas of concern and recommended approval.

There was no further discussion.

(11:02 – 11:03)

2-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: LEISURE SERVICES

DIRECTOR: DR. BARBARA P. JACKSON ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - Discussion and possible action on naming a park at Tropical Parkway/Serene Drive and Rebecca Road/Azure Drive - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On May 9, 2001, at the monthly Parks and Recreation Advisory Commission meeting, the park naming sub-committee reported the recommendation of Sheep Mountain View Park for the park site known as Joe Neal Park site located between Serene Drive/Tropical Parkway and Rebecca Road/Azure Drive. The vote by the Board was unanimous to recommend the name to City Council

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends City Council approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 43 and 73 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 68 to 8/1/2001 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:26 – 9:27)

1-498

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action on a Sewer Connection Agreement and Interlocal Contract with Clark County Sanitation District - D.R. Horton, Inc., owner (south side of Centennial Parkway and the east side of Marla Street, APNs 126-25-501-001, 126-25-501-014, 126-25-201-005, 126-25-201-006, 126-25-101-006, and 126-25-101-007) - County - near Wards 4 and 6 (Brown and Mack)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** PW/City Engineer☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Applicant proposes to connect a six-parcel residential subdivision approximately 30.0 acres to the City sewer from property located in Clark County to an existing 8" line in Centennial Parkway. The Planning Department has determined the proposed use of this parcel, Constellation Estates, does not conform to the City's General Plan for the area; there is sufficient capacity in the City Sanitary Sewer. The applicant has signed a "Sewer Connection Agreement" and "Petition for Annexation."

RECOMMENDATION:

The Department of Public Works acknowledges that sufficient capacity exists and the connection could be allowed, subject to conformance with all City Codes and Department standards and off-site improvements being installed per City standards.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda memo

Submitted after the meeting: Letter dated 7/7/2001 from Mark Fiorentino requesting abeyance

MOTION:**BROWN – ABEYANCE to 8/1/01 - UNANIMOUS with MACK excused****MINUTES:**

RICHARD GOECKE, Director, Public Works Department, stated that the City's sewer has sufficient capacity, but the land use is not in conformance with the General Plan.

TOM COMBS, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner, and Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, stated that to his understanding a letter requesting abeyance to the 8/1/01 meeting was submitted;

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001

Public Works

Item 69 – Discussion and possible action on a Sewer Connection Agreement and Interlocal Contract with Clark County Sanitation District – D.R. Horton, Inc.

MINUTES – Continued:

however, staff indicated to him that it was not. Therefore, he requested abeyance on behalf of ATTORNEY MARK FIORENTINO, who could not be present.

There was no further discussion.

(11:03 – 11:05)

2-750

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**DIRECTOR:** BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:**

SENIOR CITIZENS ADVISORY BOARD – Robert Zweck - Term Expiration 6-2001 (Ward 2); Anthony Bruges – Term Expiration 6-2001 (Ward 4); Hazel Geran – Term Expiration 6-2001 (Ward 5); Jean Lonborg – Term Expiration 6-2001 (Resigned) (Ward 6)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Senior Citizens Advisory Board was created upon Council adoption of Ordinance 5206 on April 19, 2000. This new Board consists of eight members appointed by the City Council. Each of the six members of Council has one coterminous appointment with the appointee residing in the respective member of Council's Ward; the Mayor has two coterminous appointments with members residing in two different Wards. Members may serve for an uninterrupted period not longer than eight years (two terms). Presently, the Ward 6 representative, Jean Lonborg, has resigned.

RECOMMENDATION:

Reappoint Mr. Robert Zweck OR appoint a new representative that resides in Ward 2 by Councilwoman Boggs McDonald with term to expire June 2005

Reappoint Mr. Anthony Bruges OR appoint a new representative that resides in Ward 4 by Councilman Brown with term to expire June 2005

Reappoint Ms. Hazel Geran OR appoint a new representative that resides in Ward 5 by Councilman Weekly with term to expire June 2003

Appoint a new representative that resides in Ward 6 by Councilman Mack with term to expire June 2005

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Current Listing & Authority - Senior Citizens' Advisory Board

Board Interest Forms: Barbara A. Fraser (Ward 4), Gil Geurin (Ward 5), Margrette Makram (Ward 2), Dan W. Carter, Sr. (Ward 4)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Boards and Commissions
Item 70 – Senior Citizens Advisory Board

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to follow **COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD**'s recommendation to reappoint **Robert Zweck**, **COUNCILMAN BROWN**'s recommendation to reappoint **Anthony Bruges**, and **COUNCILMAN WEEKLY**'s recommendation to reappoint **Hazel Geran**

and

COUNCILMAN BROWN's recommendation for **COUNCILMAN MACK** to appoint **Mel Henken, 7907 Ben Hogan, Las Vegas, Nevada 89149**

UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN BROWN indicated that **COUNCILMAN MACK** was appointing **MR. HENKEN** to represent Ward 6.

There was no further discussion.

(11:05 – 11:07)

2-807

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: LESA CODER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Weekly and Reese

Discussion and possible action to direct staff to exercise Section 26, Right to Repurchase, Reenter and Repossess, of the Purchase and Sale Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and Han-Bo Nevada, Inc. in the Las Vegas Technology Center (\$400,000 - Industrial Park Fund) - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$400,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** OBD/Economic Development Div.☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Industrial Park Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Repurchase of 2 acres of land in the Las Vegas Technology Center from Han-Bo Nevada, Inc. Han-Bo Nevada, Inc. has not met its obligations under the Purchase and Sale Agreement of August 16, 1995 to construct a facility on the land acquired.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 7/16/2001 Real Estate Committee recommends approval. It is recommended by the City Manager that the City Council direct staff to exercise Section 26 of the Purchase and Sale Agreement with Han-Bo Nevada, Inc. and that the Mayor be authorized to execute any and all documents including escrow instructions necessary to carry out the repurchase.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Purchase and Sale Agreement - Section 26 plus legal description
3. January 20, 2000 Letter of Default
4. March 19, 2001 Letter of Settlement Request - Han-Bo
5. April 11, 2001 Letter of Repurchase Settlement - City
6. April 27, 2001 Letter of Acknowledgement - Han-Bo
7. Disclosure Statement - Han-Bo
8. Site Map

MOTION:**BROWN – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001

Real Estate Committee

Item 71 – Discussion and possible action to direct staff to exercise Section 26, Right to Repurchase, Reenter and Repossess, of the Purchase and Sale Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and Han-Bo Nevada, Inc.

MINUTES:

LESA CODER, Director, Office of Business Development, advised that in 1995 the City Council approved the original purchase and sale agreement between the City of Las Vegas and Han-Bo Nevada, Inc., with a construction completion date of January 2000; however, to date, no progress has been made on the commencement of construction. She opined that it would be appropriate to repurchase the property for a difference of approximately \$40,000 and begin to market the property commensurate with today's value of \$8.00 a square foot. In the absence of any progress on the start of construction, it would be a wise business decision to repurchase the property. She recommended approval.

MAYOR GOODMAN questioned the reason for giving Han-Bo a profit in the repurchase. MS. CODER replied that it would be appropriate because the value of the property has doubled, as properties have developed in the surrounding area and by Han-Bo merely holding title on the property, and to compensate for any costs Han-Bo may have incurred during the construction design phase.

There was no further discussion.

(11:07 – 11:09)

2-879

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

ABEYANCE ITEM - Bill No. 2001-52 – Establishes a list of uses that may be permitted in the C-V Zoning District by means of special use permit. Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The C-V (Civic) Zoning District allows certain traditional governmental uses as a matter of right. However, the Zoning Code contains no specific treatment of uses allowable in that district by means of special use permit—as was the case with the previous zoning regulations in Title 19. This bill will amend the Zoning Code to list the uses that may be permitted in the C-V District by means of special use permit.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 7/18/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 7/16/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Weekly and Mack; Second Committee: Weekly and L.B. McDonald;
First Reading – 6/6/2001; First Publication – 6/22/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-52

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Third Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5336 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN McDONALD thanked ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department, for clarifying some of the confusion regarding some of the language in the subject bill.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Recommending Committee
Item 72 – Bill No. 2001-52

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD informed MAYOR GOODMAN that MR. GENZER advised at the Recommending Committee meeting that civic uses converted to retail, which is the intent with the Fifth Street School property, would require a zoning change.

There was no further discussion.

(11:09 – 11:11)

2-953

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

ABEYANCE ITEM - Bill No. 2001-53 – Adopts the Population Element of the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Last September the City Council adopted the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan. At the time of that adoption, the element related to population was not complete, so the population element of the previous plan was retained in effect until an updated version could be adopted. This bill will adopt the updated population element and incorporate it into the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 7/5/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 6/18/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 6/6/2001; First Publication – 6/22/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-53 and incorporated Population Element of the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and **STRIKE** Items 43 and 73 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 68 to 8/1/2001 – **UNANIMOUS** with MACK excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:26 – 9:27)

1-498

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-56 – Annexation No. A-0023-00(A) – Property Location: On the south side of Alexander Road approximately 300 feet east of Cimarron Road; Petitioned By: City of Las Vegas; Acreage: 2.65 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning) U (L) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property located on the south side of Alexander Road approximately 300 feet east of Cimarron Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (July 27, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 7/18/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 7/16/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Weekly and Mack; Second Committee: Weekly and L.B. McDonald; First Reading – 6/20/2001; First Publication – 7/6/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-56 and Location Map

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5337 – **UNANIMOUS** with MACK excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(11:11)

2-1014

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-57 – Adopts the Housing Element of the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Last September the City Council adopted the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan. At the time of that adoption, the element related to housing was not complete, so the housing element of the previous plan was retained in effect until an updated version could be adopted. This bill will adopt the updated housing element and incorporate it into the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 7/18/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 7/16/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Weekly and Mack; Second Committee: Weekly and L.B. McDonald; First Reading – 6/20/2001; First Publication – 7/6/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-57 and Incorporated Housing Element and Housing Element Appendix

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5338 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(11:11 – 11:12)

2-1040

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-59 – Adopts development agreement with Triad Development, LLC for the development of property within the Summerlin Village Center Area. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Last February the City Council approved a development agreement with Triad Development, LLC for the development of property within the Summerlin Village Center Area. Pursuant to State law, such an agreement must be adopted by ordinance. This bill will accomplish that adoption.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 7/18/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 7/16/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Weekly and Mack; Second Committee: Weekly and L.B. McDonald; First Reading – 6/20/2001; First Publication – 7/6/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-59 and Incorporated Copy of Development Agreement

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and **BILL ADOPTED** as recommended as Ordinance No. 5339 – **UNANIMOUS** with **GOODMAN** abstaining due to his business partnership with one of the principals that has an interest in the subject property and **MACK** excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(11:12 – 11:13)

2-1055

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-61 – Creates a new misdemeanor crime for individuals who sell drug paraphernalia in the City of Las Vegas. Sponsored by: Mayor Oscar Goodman

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 8/1/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 7/16/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Weekly and L. B. McDonald; First Reading – 7/5/2001; First Publication – Not Published

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

8/1/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-62 – Readopts LVMC 10.02.010 to make all State misdemeanors likewise City misdemeanors. Proposed by: Bradford R. Jerbic, City Attorney

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Pursuant to LVMC 10.02.010, any offense that constitutes a misdemeanor under State law is also a misdemeanor under City law if it is committed within the corporate boundaries of the City. This permits prosecution of such an offense in Municipal Court. In order to assure that LVMC 10.02.010 is interpreted to incorporate State-law changes made during the 71st Session of the Nevada Legislature, LVMC 10.02.010 should be readopted. This bill will accomplish that objective.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 8/1/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 7/16/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Weekly and L. B. McDonald; First Reading – 7/5/2001; First Publication – Not Published

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

8/1/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-63 – Annexation No. A-0021-01(A) – Property Location: South of Lone Mountain Road, between Balsam Street and Rainbow Boulevard; Petitioned By: City of Las Vegas; Acreage: 2.39 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning) U (PR) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located south of Lone Mountain Road, between Balsam Street and Rainbow Boulevard. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (August 10, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 8/1/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 7/16/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Weekly and L. B. McDonald; First Reading – 7/5/2001; First Publication – Not Published

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

8/1/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-64 – Revises the minimum standards for auto paint and body repair shops in the C-2 Zoning District. Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Auto paint and body repair shops currently are allowed in the C-2 Zoning District by means of special use permit, but only in conjunction with a car dealership. It appears that "stand-alone" paint and body shops might also be appropriately allowed by special use permit, if certain minimum standards are met. This bill will accomplish that change.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 8/1/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 7/16/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Weekly and L. B. McDonald; First Reading – 7/5/2001; First Publication – Not Published

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

8/1/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-65 – Increases the on-site parking requirements for general retail centers of less than 25,000 square feet. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

General retail centers such as shopping centers currently are required to provide at least one onsite parking space for each 250 square feet of gross floor area. It turns out that smaller retail centers tend to be deficient in parking because of the way that development and leasing occurs. This bill will remedy that situation by requiring retail centers of less than 25,000 square feet to provide one parking space for each 175 square feet of gross floor area.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 8/1/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 7/16/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Weekly and L. B. McDonald; First Reading – 7/5/2001; First Publication – Not Published

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

8/1/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-66 – Amends the Zoning Code to require the submittal of a cross section in connection with the development of sites with a natural grade over 4%. Sponsored by: Councilwoman Lynette Boggs McDonald

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will require the submittal of a cross section in connection with the development of sites with a natural grade over 4%. The submittal of the cross section during the application process will allow the Planning and Development Department and the Department of Public Works the opportunity to address concerns associated with any grade alterations that are proposed in connection with the development.

RECOMMENDATION:

ABEYANCE to the 7/30/2001 Recommending Committee pursuant to the 7/16/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Weekly and L. B. McDonald; First Reading – 7/5/2001; First Publication – Not Published

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

8/1/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-67 – Repeals LVMC Title 19, related to zoning. Proposed by: Bradford R. Jerbic, City Attorney

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Several years ago, Title 19A was adopted so as to include most of the City's zoning and related regulations. Title 19 was retained in force to provide "gap" coverage for any provisions that might inadvertently be left out of Title 19A. Those items have now been identified and included in Title 19A. This bill will repeal Title 19, which is no longer necessary.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 8/1/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 7/16/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Weekly and L. B. McDonald; First Reading – 7/5/2001; First Publication – Not Published

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

8/1/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-68 – Reduces to thirty-five percent the amount of adult inventory necessary to classify a bookstore as an adult bookstore and an adult emporium as a sexually oriented business. Sponsored by: Councilwoman Lynette Boggs McDonald

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Zoning Code presently treats an adult emporium as a sexually oriented business for locational purposes. The term "adult emporium" includes bookstores, video stores and other outlets whose inventory is made up of at least 51% adult material. This bill will reduce that threshold amount to 35%. The bill will also make a parallel adjustment in the definition of "adult bookstore" in the licensing regulations.

RECOMMENDATION:

TRAILED to the 8/9/2001 Planning Commission meeting and ABEYANCE to the 8/13/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Weekly and L. B. McDonald; First Reading – 7/5/2001; First Publication – Not Published

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

8/1/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-69 – Repeals LVMC Chapter 2.51, relating to ethics. Sponsored by:
Councilwoman Lynette Boggs McDonald

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill would repeal LVMC Chapter 2.51, relating to ethics. Under this proposal, State law would govern ethics matters in the future.

RECOMMENDATION:

Forwarded to FULL COUNCIL with no recommendation pursuant to the 7/16/2001
Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Weekly and L. B. McDonald; First Reading – 7/5/2001; First
Publication – Not Published

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

8/1/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-70 – Amends LVMC Chapter 2.51 to revise the standards and procedures relating to ethics complaints. Sponsored by: Councilwoman Lynette Boggs McDonald

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill would amend LVMC Chapter 2.51 to revise the standards and procedures relating to ethics complaints.

RECOMMENDATION:

Forwarded to FULL COUNCIL with no recommendation pursuant to the 7/16/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Weekly and L. B. McDonald; First Reading – 7/5/2001; First Publication – Not Published

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

8/1/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-71 – Annexation No. A-0013-00(A) – Property Location: On the northeast corner of Campbell Road and Jakes Place; Petitioned By: Log Cabin & El Capitan LLC, et al; Acreage: 7.66 acres; Zoned: R-A (County Zoning) U (PCD) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the northeast corner of Campbell Road and Jakes Place. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (August 24, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-71 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD

7/30/2001 Recommending Committee

8/1/2001 Council Agenda

(11:13 – 11:15)

2-1087

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-72 – Annexation No. A-0012-01(A) – Property Location: On the north side of Elkhorn Road approximately 100 feet east of Pioneer Way; Petitioned By: Jeffrey and Tammi Owens; Acreage: 0.53 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning) R-E (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack (**NOTE: The petitioner on the Annexation application was Antonio Madrid, previous owner.**)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the north side of Elkhorn Road approximately 100 feet east of Pioneer Way. The annexation is based upon the petition of a previous owner in consideration of connection to City sewer facilities. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (August 24, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-72 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD

7/30/2001 Recommending Committee

8/1/2001 Council Agenda

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
New Bills
Item 88 – Bill No. 2001-72

MINUTES – Continued:

CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC advised that the correct annexation petitioner was ANTONIO MADRID, the previous property owner, not JEFFREY and TAMMI OWENS, who are the current owners.

There was no further discussion.

(11:13 – 11:15)

2-1087

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-73 – Annexation No. A-0020-01(A) – Property Location: On the northeast corner of Fort Apache Road and Alexander Road; Petitioned By: Shearing Family Trust, et al; Acreage: 53.99 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning) U (DR) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the northeast corner of Fort Apache Road and Alexander Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (August 24, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-73 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD

7/30/2001 Recommending Committee

8/1/2001 Council Agenda

(11:13 – 11:15)

2-1087

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-74 – Annexation No. A-0042-01(A) – Property Location: On the southeast corner of Farm Road and Tenaya Way; Petitioned By: Coleman-Toll, Limited Partnership; Acreage: 161.51 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning) R-E (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southeast corner of Farm Road and Tenaya Way. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (August 24, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-74 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD

7/30/2001 Recommending Committee

8/1/2001 Council Agenda

(11:13 – 11:15)

2-1087

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-75 – Revises certain business licensing fees and fee categories, and makes other minor revisions to existing licensing and permit regulations. Proposed by: Mark Vincent, Director of Finance and Business Services

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This bill will update business license fees and fee categories, primarily in order to achieve greater consistency with the fee structure for businesses in the unincorporated County. The bill includes a number of modest fee increases, none of which are deemed significant enough to require a business impact statement (e.g., approximately \$50 annually for professionals). The bill includes a few minor technical changes as well.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-75

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD

7/30/2001 Recommending Committee

8/1/2001 Council Agenda

(11:13 – 11:15)

2-1087

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. Z-2001-1 – Amends the Official Zoning Map Atlas of the City of Las Vegas by changing the zoning designations of certain parcels of land. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will amend the zoning map to change the zoning designations of approximately 17,000 parcels. The rezoning of these parcels has already been approved by the City Council. This bill merely formalizes the rezoning by ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. Z-2001-1

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD

7/30/2001 Recommending Committee

8/1/2001 Council Agenda

(11:13 – 11:15)

2-1087

THE MORNING SESSION RECESSED AT 11:15 A.M.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

Any items from the afternoon session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and accept the WITHDRAWAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE of Item 117 [Z-0027-01] – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD not voting and MACK excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(1:02 – 1:04)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Public hearing on local improvement district regarding: Special Improvement District No. 1479 - Mayfair Area (\$108,839 - Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$108,839

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The construction and installation of pavement, curb and gutter, storm drain, and streetlights. The boundaries of the District are located in the Mayfair subdivision bounded by 15th Street on the west, Fremont Street on the north, Bruce Street on the east, and Charleston Boulevard on the south.

RECOMMENDATION:

Public hearing only; no action required

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Public Hearing notice

MOTION:

None Required – Public Hearing held

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RICHARD GOECKE, Director, Public Works Department, explained that the Mayfair Area is a street rehab area and that a part of Mayfair has never had streetlights. Therefore, when this project came along, some of the property owners expressed the desire to include streetlights in the project. Since streetlights were never installed, the City would be assessing only the streetlight portion of this project. The total assessment is \$108,839.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001

Public Works

Item 94 – Special Improvement District No. 1479 – Mayfair Area

MINUTES – Continued:

AL GALLEGO, Citizen of Las Vegas, questioned why streetlights have to be bought when on Las Vegas Boulevard there are over 150 light poles not being utilized. These light poles could be moved into those neighborhoods in need. MR. GOECKE replied that it would cost more to remove the street poles as the streetlights have a very marginal salvage value because they are hardly used anywhere.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, stated that an assessment should not be placed on older neighborhoods. The City should use Community Block Grants to upgrade older neighborhoods without putting undue burden on the area residents. MR. GOECKE clarified that the entire construction funding cost is \$2,381,000 and that the \$108,839 is a very small portion of the overall project cost.

MR. GALLEGO reiterated that it would be cost-effective if the City would hire an outside company to remove the existing light poles and move them into neighborhoods where they are needed. He referred to a rehabilitation project in his neighborhood where the City removed a light pole in front of his house only to move it one-foot away.

JOSE NAZARENO, 200 Circle Drive, discussed with MR. GOECKE that the \$663.81 assessment could be paid in one lump sum. However, the assessment will run for eight years and the residents would receive a bill twice a year in equal amounts for eight years. MR. NAZARENO asked why the City is assessing the property owners when the City would benefit from this street rehabilitation. MR. GOECKE replied that typically streetlights are put into a neighborhood for the safety and convenience of that particular neighborhood and not for the benefit of the general City population.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:04 – 1:10)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Public hearing on local improvement district regarding: Special Improvement District No. 1480 - Buffalo (Cheyenne Avenue to Lone Mountain Road) (\$879,826.14 - Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$879,826.14

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Installation of pavement, curb and gutter, sidewalk, driveways, streetlights, water laterals, water mains, sewer laterals, and sewer mains.

RECOMMENDATION:

Public hearing only; no action required

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Public Hearing notice

MOTION:

None Required – Public Hearing held

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RICHARD GOECKE, Director, Public Works Department, indicated that the total construction cost would be approximately 13.9 million dollars for an assessment amount of \$879,826.14. The street improvement includes the installation of curbs and gutters, sidewalks, driveways, streetlights, water laterals, water mains, sewer laterals, and sewer mains.

DENNIS PESSIN, Canyon Ranch Estates, asked if the improvements would only be done on the east side, as the west side of Buffalo Drive is County. MR. GOECKE replied that the City has been in contact with the County and is optimistic that the County will join in the Special Improvement District. The City is moving forward with its full half-street improvement on the County portion of it. The travel lanes on the County sides will be put in. However, in the event

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001

Public Works

Item 95 – Special Improvement District No. 1480 – Buffalo (Cheyenne Avenue to Lone Mountain Road

MINUTES – Continued:

the County does not move forward with an SID, the project will remain with only those lanes. MR. PESSIN expressed concern about access onto Craig Road for his development, as well as the Deerbrook community. If Buffalo Drive is now widened and improved and Buffalo Drive becomes a corridor for all of the traffic from the west to come down to Craig Road and south, then essentially the residents of Canyon Ranch Estates or Deerbrook have no safe way out of the community other than to come all the way back to Lone Mountain Road and swing around. COUNCILMAN BROWN replied that a signalization would be provided at Buffalo Drive and Craig Road. He pointed out that all the residents within Canyon Ranch Estates are being assessed equally for the component of this project that goes from Red Coach Avenue to Lone Mountain Road. He clarified that the entire subdivision would be assessed, which was determined at the time it was built. MR. GOECKE added that once roadway improvements are made, a close watch is kept on them for a period of time because traffic patterns do change. Traffic lights will be installed based on warrants.

JOE CRAYCRAFT, Canyon Ranch Estates, appeared in opposition of the Special Improvement District (SID). He asked that if the County decides to go ahead with the SID, would the County pick up the individual cost for those property owners along the way. He believes that those four or five or six homeowners are not going to pick up that expense. It does not seem fair that the County can pick up the expense for those individuals and the City residents have to pay for the SID. COUNCILMAN BROWN replied that the City is going to charge each resident their fair portion of the City's infrastructure: street, sidewalk, gutter, and streetlight. In MR. CRAYCRAFT's case his subdivision will be equally assessed for only that part of this project. This project has been delayed for three and half years because the County chose not to participate. However, through meetings with COMMISSIONER MAXFIELD, the County has indicated that they will participate from Cheyenne Avenue all the way to Lone Mountain Road. They would assess a County resident per frontage area and then if it is an unincorporated parcel, they would assess the property owner likewise. He would be happy to furnish MR. CRAYCRAFT information on whether the County chooses to subsidize any of that additional cost.

MR. CRAYCRAFT asked if the request for the SID came from someone who lives on either side of Lone Mountain Road. He doubts that because all of the Canyon Ranch Estates residents would say that the SID would not benefit them. COUNCILMAN BROWN replied that in light of all of the subdivisions being built, as well as the Junior High School, there is a need to improve the sidewalks as a safe route to schools. The need is definitely there for improvement from Craig Road all the way to Lone Mountain Road. In fact, Lone Mountain Road will

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001

Public Works

Item 95 – Special Improvement District No. 1480 – Buffalo (Cheyenne Avenue to Lone Mountain Road

MINUTES – Continued:

ultimately be built out because Lone Mountain Road is the designated interchange on the western leg of the Beltway. Ultimately, there would be four lanes on Lone Mountain Road, and the bridge would be widened all the way to the freeway. He would be happy to provide MR. CRAYCRAFT with the information on the timing of that project, as the Regional Transportation Commission (RTC) will be involved in that component.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:10 – 1:19)

1-190

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT
DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT PM SESSION INDEX:

CONSENT AGENDA

- EXTENSION OF TIME - REZONING
96 Z-0023-99(2) - Bernhard A. Harris

DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS

- REVIEW OF CONDITION - SPECIAL USE PERMIT
97 U-0076-00(1) - Sahara Express Mart
- REVIEW OF CONDITION - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW
98 Z-0011-01(2) - Albert D. Massi, Et Al and Alexander 9 on behalf of KB Home Nevada, Inc.
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING
99 ABEYANCE ITEM - SD-0012-01 - Astoria Iron Mountain, Limited Liability Company
100 Z-0087-98(3) - LB LVTC II, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Thomas & Mack Development Group, Limited Liability Company
101 Z-0081-99(1) - New Horizons Center for Learning
- AMENDMENT TO THE IRON MOUNTAIN RANCH RESIDENTIAL PLANNED DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING
102 ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0016-98(2) - Whispering Teton, Limited Liability Company
- REZONING RELATED TO Z-0016-98(2) - PUBLIC HEARING
103 ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0015-01 - Whispering Teton, Limited Liability Company
- VARIANCE RELATED TO Z-0016-98(2) AND Z-0015-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
104 V-0026-01 - Whispering Teton, Limited Liability Company
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0016-98(2), Z-0015-01 AND V-0026-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
105 Z-0015-01(1) - Whispering Teton, Limited Liability Company

City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Two

INDEX

City Council Meeting of July 18, 2001

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING

- 106 **VAC-0012-01** - Centennial 95, Limited Partnership
- 107 **VAC-0013-01** - Wallace and Geraldine Sheets, Et Al
- 108 **VAC-0014-01** - Bradley Alexander II, Inc., Et Al

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING

- 109 **V-0031-01** - Darrel and Sharon Chamberlain

REQUIRED ONE YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING

- 110 **U-0015-99(2)** - EZ Pawn Nevada, Inc.

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING

- 111 **U-0063-01** - LPT Commercial Holdings, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Kid to Kid of Summerlin, Limited Liability Company
- 112 **U-0066-01** - Mountain Spa Resort Development, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Meadowbrook Mountain Spa

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING

- 113 **ABEYANCE ITEM - GPA-0030-00** - Howard Hughes Corporation

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0030-00 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 114 **ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0096-00** - Howard Hughes Corporation

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING

- 115 **ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0106-00** - Michelas, Limited Liability Company

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0106-00 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 116 **ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0106-00(1)** - Michelas, Limited Liability Company

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING

- 117 **Z-0027-01** - ARC Investments, Inc.
- 118 **Z-0030-01** - City of Las Vegas

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0030-01 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 119 **Z-0030-01(1)** - City of Las Vegas

City of Las Vegas

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

EXTENSION OF TIME - **Z-0023-99(2) - BERNHARD A. HARRIS** - Request for an Extension of Time on an Approved Rezoning of 0.99 Acres From R-E (Residence Estates) To C-1 (Limited Commercial) on the west side of Martin L. King Boulevard, approximately 400 feet south of Washington Avenue (APN: 139-28-304-002), PROPOSED USE: Professional Office Building, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

NOTE: THIS ITEM IS CONSIDERED TO BE ROUTINE IN NATURE.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY - DENIED – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

NOTE: The initial motion made by COUNCILMAN WEEKLY, which passed unanimously with MACK excused, was reconsidered upon a motion by COUNCILMAN WEEKLY, which also carried unanimously with MACK excused.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY explained that there have been some concerns regarding this requested application and the two-year Extension of Time that has been on this property. He is aware that there are other applicants that have expressed interest in this property. If all goes according to plan, it would be a great enhancement to the Enterprise Park, and the community

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 96 – Z-0023-99(2)

MINUTES – Continued:

will be extremely honored to have a project on this property. He pointed out that he would work with MR. HARRIS and that, in case no other plan is realized for this particular project, he would make sure that MR. HARRIS would get the opportunity to have the matter reconsidered at that time.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

(1:20 – 1:21/1:26 – 1:27)

3-523/1-661

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****REVIEW OF CONDITION - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - U-0076-00(1) - SAHARA**

EXPRESS MART - Request for a Review of Condition Number 6, which prohibited the sale of individual containers of any size beer, wine cooler, or screw cap wine within a convenience store located at 1518 Scotland Lane (APN: 162-04-404-014), M (Industrial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:**REESE - APPROVED subject to condition – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused****MINUTES:**

SHERRY ASEPH, 3817 Pipelane Street, North Las Vegas, explained that the convenience store is a family-run operation. The hours of operation are from 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.

COUNCILMAN REESE indicated that he worked very closely with the applicant and that the store is located in an industrial-type area, but has not had any problems. The applicant runs a good operation and the neighbors do not object to this request.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

(1:21 – 1:26)

3-542

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 97 – U-0076-00(1)

CONDITIONS:

1. Condition Number 6 is hereby deleted. This deletion shall be reviewed in one year by the City Council, at which time the Council may re-impose the condition. Conformance to the remaining conditions of U-0076-00 as required by the City of Las Vegas.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REVIEW OF CONDITION - **Z-0011-01(2) - ALBERT D. MASSI, ET AL AND ALEXANDER 9 ON BEHALF OF KB HOME NEVADA, INC.** - Request for a Review of Condition No. 2 on an approved Site Development Plan Review WHICH REQUIRED RESERVATION OF A 20-FOOT WIDE CORRIDOR INCLUDING A 5 FOOT WIDE SIDEWALK ALONG ALEXANDER ROAD FOR A 105-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT adjacent to the south side of Alexander Road, between Durango Drive and Cimarron Road (APN's: 138-09-101-001 through 006), U (Undeveloped) Zone [L (Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to R-PD5 (Residential Planned Development - 5 Units Per Acre), Ward 4 (Brown). Staff recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

RUSSELL SKEES, 401 North Buffalo, appeared on behalf of KB Homes and concurred with staff conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

(1:23 – 1:26)

3-602

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 98 – Z-0011-01(2)

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Condition No. 2 amended to read:

The developer shall reserve a 15-foot wide corridor adjacent to, but outside of, the dedicated right-of-way for Alexander Road, on the south side of the street, for trail purposes in accordance with Map Seven of the Centennial Hills Sector Plan. The trail corridor shall be created as a separate lot or parcel, as a common element, separate from other adjacent common elements, and the developer shall grant a public trail easement overlying the entire area of the common element thus created. Concurrent with development of this site, the developer shall construct Trail improvements within this easement corridor in a manner acceptable to the Planning and Development Department and the Department of Public Works. The Homeowner's Association or similar management association of this development in perpetuity shall maintain landscaping and other improvements within the trail corridor, unless and until the City accepts an alternative maintenance. No above ground utility vaults that would substantially interfere with the use of the trail corridor will be allowed within the easement area."

2. Compliance with all other Conditions of Approval of the Site Development Plan Review (Z-0011-01(1)).

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING -
SD-0012-01 - ASTORIA IRON MOUNTAIN, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY -
Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 140 LOT SINGLE-FAMILY
SUBDIVISION on 35.32 acres at the northeast corner of Iron Mountain Road and Fort Apache
Road (APN: 125-05-401-001, 125-05-402-001, 125-05-403-001, and 125-05-404-003), U
(Undeveloped) Zone [PCD (Planned Community Development) General Plan Designation] under
Resolution of Intent to R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development - 3 Units Per Acre), Ward 6
(Mack). The Planning Commission (3-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****3****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (3-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN - APPROVED subject to conditions, deleting Condition #1 and adding the following condition:

- This Site Development Plan Review approval is based on the revised site plan submitted to the Planning and Development Department and the Public Works Department on June 26, 2001 except as amended by conditions herein.

– UNANIMOUS with MACK excused and L. McDONALD not voting

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning and Development Department
Item 99 – SD-0012-01

MINUTES – Continued:

ATTORNEY ROBERT GRONAUER, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant and property owners, Astoria Homes. The property related to Item 99 [SD-0012-01] consists of 80 acres. It was originally zoned in the County and was annexed into the City a few years ago and was zoned for an R-PD3 on the full 80 acres. The portion that is being requested for a Site Development Plan review is located adjacent to Fort Apache Road and Iron Mountain Road.

ATTORNEY GRONAUER stated that there are other planned communities throughout the Las Vegas Valley that have been developed on a certain amount of acreage, such as this proposed project, that also have gated and open accesses to other developments. They would like to continue to have the crash gate located as proposed. Therefore, he requested that condition #1 be deleted.

As far as Item 115 [Z-0106-00] and Item 116 [Z-0106-00(1)], the development is located south of Iron Mountain Road. The project originally came in as an R-PD8. However, working with LOUISE RUSKAMP and the neighbors in the northwest area, the applicant agreed to reduce the project to an R-PD5. This development will have the same product line as proposed in Item 99 [SD-0012-01]. He presented photographs of similar homes developed at the Park Side Estates. ATTORNEY GRONAUER agreed to a condition on Item 115 [Z-0106-00] that the zoning be approved subject to the R-PD5 for the 109 lots.

CHRIS GLORE, Planning and Development Department, indicated that staff would be opposed to the deletion of Condition #1 on Item 99 [SD-0012-01] because if the two subdivisions are physically separated they do not interact as a planned community as intended, and the density on the portion to the community to the west is higher than allowed with the underlying zoning. Therefore, staff is of the opinion that if those two communities are going to be physically separate, they should be treated with separate site specific zoning designations and general plan designations. MR. GLORE read into the record the added conditions to be imposed on Item 99 [SD-0012-01], Item 115 [Z-0106-00] and Item 116 [Z-0106-00(1)] to which ATTORNEY GRONAUER concurred.

ATTORNEY GRONAUER clarified for COUNCILMAN BROWN that the crash gate will not have pedestrian access and that it would be totally blocked off and that the Planning Commission approved the item subject to that condition. However, COUNCILMAN BROWN stated that he would support the applicant's request to delete #1, as far as the crash gate, and that if there is public opposition to that component, the item would be reconsidered at a future date.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning and Development Department
Item 99 – SD-0012-01

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion pertaining to Item 99 [SD-0012-01] and related Item 115 [Z-0106-00] and Item 116 [Z-0106-00(1)] was held under Item 99 [SD-0012-01].

(1:27 – 1:35)

3-716

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Submit a revised site plan for staff review depicting the removal of the crash gate between the westerly 20 acres and the proposed large lots of this proposal.
2. This action constitutes approval of a deviation to the subdivision ordinance requirement of minimum 37-foot wide private streets, for the 220-foot section of street that is providing access from the property to the east to this site.
3. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the City Council approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
4. The setbacks for this development shall be a minimum of 15 feet to the front of the house, 18 feet to the front of the garage, 14 feet for a side loaded garage, 5 feet on the sides, 10 feet on the corner side, and 15 feet in the rear.
5. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.
6. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 99 – SD-0012-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

7. A landscaping plan shall be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit, or prior to occupancy, whichever occurs first.
8. The applicant shall have constructed a six-foot high decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials, along the entire length of the southern, western and northern site boundaries.

Public Works

9. Dedicate 40 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Fort Apache Road, 40 feet for Iron Mountain Road, and 30 feet for O'Hare Avenue. Also, dedicate a 20-foot radius on the southeast corner of Fort Apache Road and O'Hare Avenue and a 54-foot radius on the northeast corner of Fort Apache Road and Iron Mountain Road. Alternatively, as allowed by the Master Plan of Streets and Highways as amended [MSH-1-97], on Fort Apache Road, El Capitan Way and Iron Mountain Road, the applicant may dedicate 30 feet of right-of-way and grant 10 foot "Public Use Roadway Corridor Easements" adjacent to such right-of-way for a total public half-street corridor width of 40 feet. Such public use easement shall be granted and available for any public need in conjunction with but not limited to traffic, drainage and storm sewers, streetlighting, fire hydrants, walkways, and sanitary sewer purposes. All required Sight Visibility Restriction Easements and appropriate corner radii shall be reserved behind and adjacent to such roadway corridor easement as if the easement area were dedicated right-of-way.
10. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving where legally able on Fort Apache Road, Iron Mountain Road, and O'Hare Avenue adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site.
11. Extend public sewer to the western edge of this site in Iron Mountain Road and to the northern edge of this site in Fort Apache Road concurrent with development of this site. Also, provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits. Improvement Drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 99 – SD-0012-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

12. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in redesigning the proposed driveway layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
13. An update to the previously approved Traffic Impact Analysis including an access analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, the submittal of any construction drawings, or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.
14. An update to the previously approved Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any grading or building permits, the submittal of any construction drawings, or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first, as required by the Department of Public Works. Provide and improve all drainageways as recommended in the approved drainage plan/study.
15. A Master Streetlight Plan shall be submitted and approved prior to the submittal of any construction drawings for this site.
16. Gated entries shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
17. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services prior to the issuance of any permits.
18. The final layout of this site shall be determined at the time of approval of the Tentative Map.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0087-98(3) - LB LVTC II, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF THOMAS & MACK DEVELOPMENT GROUP, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 101,902 SQUARE FOOT OFFICE DEVELOPMENT on the north side of Smoke Ranch Road, approximately 930 feet east of Buffalo Drive (APN: 138-15-310-011), C-PB (Planned Business Park) Zone, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining because one of the partners of his firm is also a partner on this project and MACK excused

NOTE: The initial motion made by BROWN, which passed unanimously with MACK excused, was reconsidered upon a motion by BROWN, which also carried unanimously with GOODMAN abstaining because one of the partners of his firm is also a partner on this project and MACK excused.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

TOM HART, Thomas & Mack Development Group, 7181 Amigo Street, Suite #100, presented a rendering of the proposed office building. MR. HART concurred with staff's conditions.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 100 – Z-0087-98(3)

MINUTES – Continued:

It was brought to MAYOR GOODMAN's attention that when this item first came before the City Council on 5/2/01 he abstained due to a business relationship with persons involved with this project. Therefore, the item was reconsidered and voted on again with MAYOR GOODMAN abstaining. MAYOR GOODMAN thanked DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT for his due diligence.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:35 – 1:37)

3-1038

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The site plan shall be revised to depict handicapped spaces that are in conformance with the requirements of Section 19A.10.010(G).
2. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed buildings. Lighting standards within the parking lots shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights.
3. All exterior lighting shall meet the standards of LVMC section 19A.08.060(C).
4. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
5. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
6. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the City Council approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 100 – Z-0087-98(3)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

7. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.

Public Works

8. If not already dedicated by the master developer, dedicate 30 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Fire Mesa Street, a 25 foot radius on the northeast corner of Smoke Ranch Road and Fire Mesa Street, and appropriate right-of-way adjacent to this site for an exclusive right turn lane on Smoke Ranch Road in accordance with Standard Drawing #201.1 prior to the issuance of any permits.
9. If not already constructed by the master developer, construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving on Fire Mesa Street adjacent to this site and an exclusive right turn lane on Smoke Ranch in accordance with Standard Drawing #234.3 concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards.
10. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning Section of the Department of Public Works to determine appropriate public sewer connection routes to service this site prior to the submittal of any construction drawings. Comply with the recommendations of the Collection Systems Planning Section.
11. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
12. An update to the previously approved Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 100 – Z-0087-98(3)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

13. An update to the previously approved Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any grading or building permits or submittal of any construction drawings whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways as recommended in the approved drainage plan/study.
14. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-87-98 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0081-99(1) - NEW HORIZONS CENTER FOR LEARNING** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 768 SQUARE FOOT MODULAR TRAILER AND THE CONTINUED USE OF TWO EXISTING 1,440 SQUARE FOOT MODULAR TRAILERS at 6701 West Charleston Boulevard (APN: 163-02-103-001), U (Undeveloped) Zone [M (Medium Density Residential) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-V (Civic), Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

2

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

M. McDONALD - APPROVED subject to conditions and an added condition that the applicant must maintain the landscaping in front of the property – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

The applicant was not present.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, stated that at the Planning Commission meeting questions were raised regarding the landscaping around the trailers and that someone gave the applicant a check for \$10,000 to take care of the landscaping.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 101 – Z-0081-99(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN McDONALD confirmed MR. FARLOW's statement regarding the landscaping and the \$10,000 donation.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD directed ROBERT GENZER, Planning & Development Department, to notify the applicant that they have to clean up the property.

(1:37 – 1:40)

3-1103

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. All modular buildings shall be removed from the site within three years of approval of the Certificate of Occupancy for the subject modular building.
2. The applicant shall provide four 5 foot by 5 foot planter boxes along the north side of the new modular building. Each planter shall contain one 24-inch box tree and ground cover.
3. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
4. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
5. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets
6. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
7. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - AMENDMENT TO THE IRON MOUNTAIN RESIDENTIAL PLANNED DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0016-98(2)** - **WHISPERING TETON, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request to amend the Iron Mountain Ranch Residential Planned Development Master Plan TO REMOVE 16.71 ACRES FROM THE OVERALL PLAN AREA located on the southwest corner of Bradley Road and Grand Teton Road (APN: 125-13-101-004), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development - 3 Units per Acre), Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="1"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="1"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: A support letter from BEVERLY BLASKEY and MASON HARVEY

MOTION:

BROWN - APPROVED subject to condition – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

MARK DOPPE appeared on behalf of the applicant, Whispering Teton, LLC. MR. DOPPE explained that a great deal of confusion has existed over this project because of the limbo status of the Iron Mountain Ranch Master Plan, as it relates to who is going to be satisfying the original master planner's obligation. Particularly in light of any concession that may have been granted

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 102 – Z-0016-98(2)

MINUTES – Continued:

the original plan because of such commitment. The project has been designed in accordance with all of the aesthetics and primary requirements of the Iron Mountain Ranch, LLC, which include the density requirement and specific lot size requirements. The applicant is committed to building a high quality project and has agreed to match the perimeter wall, landscaping, and install the median island along Grand Teton. In fact, this would be the fifth of the Lamplight projects designed with tree-lined streets, traditional architecture and a private park. The large homes will be built on large lots at a relatively high sale price.

MR. DOPPE added that they have met with area residents several times and have their full support. In fact, he submitted a letter of support from BEVERLY BLASKEY and MASON HARVEY, very active community leaders. MR. DOPPE assured the Council that his company would pay their fair share of the costs for any common area improvements.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, stated that the applicant is trying to overbuild, thus eliminating the required open space.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 103 [Z-0015-01], Item 104 [V-0026-01] and Item 105 [Z-0015-01(1) for related discussion.

(1:40 – 1:45)

3-1200

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The Resolution of Intent on this property shall be expunged.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - REZONING RELATED TO Z-0016-98(2) - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0015-01 - WHISPERING TETON, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) TO: R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development - 3 Units per Acre) for 16.71 Acres on the southwest corner of Bradley Road and Grand Teton Road (APN: 125-13-101-004), PROPOSED USE: 63 LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT, Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL if Z-0016-98(2) is denied, and APPROVAL if Z-0016-98(2) is approved. The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	1
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	1
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL if Z-0016-98(2) is denied, and APPROVAL if Z-0016-98(2) is approved. The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: A support letter from BEVERLY BLASKEY and MASON HARVEY

MOTION:

BROWN - APPROVED subject to conditions and the following added condition:

- All references to the Master Developer or Master HOA are held to be null and void. These requirements shall be the sole responsibility of the developer of this site.

– UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

MARK DOPPE appeared on behalf of the applicant, Whispering Teton, LLC.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 103 – Z-0015-01

MINUTES – Continued:

CHRIS GLORE, Planning and Development Department, indicated that in view of the approval of Item 102 [Z-0016-98(2)], a condition needs to be added to Item 103 [Z-0015-01], which he read into the record. MR. DOPPE concurred with the added condition.

MR. DOPPE referred to Condition #5 stating that the applicant signed an agreement with KB Homes to extend the sewer line up the middle of the project. Therefore, that condition has been satisfied. However, BART ANDERSON, Public Works Department, suggested that the applicant meet with the Public Works Department, Collection Systems Division to ensure that the sewer plan meets with Public Works plan and approval. MR. DOPPE acknowledged the request.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 102 [Z-0016-98(2)], Item 104 [V-0026-01] and Item 105 [Z-0015-01(1)] for related discussion.

(1:45 – 1:46)

3-1457

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. The overall density is limited to a maximum of 3.49 dwelling units per acre.

Public Works

3. Dedicate or provide proof that dedication has been obtained for 40 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Bradley Road, and additional 10 feet of right-of-way for a total half-street width of 60 feet on Grand Teton Road adjacent to this site prior to the recordation of this final map. Also, dedicate a 54-foot radius on the southwest corner of Grand Teton Drive and Bradley Road and a 20-foot radius on the northwest corner of Bradley Road and Whispering Sands Drive.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 103 – Z-0015-01

MINUTES – Continued:

4. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving if legally able on Grand Teton Drive, Bradley Road and Whispering Sands Drive, including the south half of the 34-foot wide median on Grand Teton Drive adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site.
5. Extend public sewer in Whispering Sands Drive to the western edge of this site to a location and depth acceptable to the City Engineer. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits. Improvement Drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.
6. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 103 – Z-0015-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

- compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.
7. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.
 8. A Homeowner's Association shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, private roadways, landscaping and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections. The CC&R's for the Homeowner's Association shall be submitted to and approved by the City Attorney's Office.
 9. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-16-98 and all other site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VARIANCE RELATED TO Z-0016-98(2) AND Z-0015-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **V-0026-01**
- WHISPERING TETON, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Appeal filed by Taney Engineering from the Denial by the Planning Commission of a request by Whispering Teton, Limited Liability Company for a Variance TO ALLOW 31,602 SQUARE FEET OF OPEN SPACE WHERE 48,111 SQUARE FEET OF OPEN SPACE IS THE MINIMUM REQUIRED FOR A RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION DEVELOPMENT on the southwest corner of Bradley Road and Grand Teton Road (APN: 125-13-101-004), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone, PROPOSED: R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development - 3 Units per Acre), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: A support letter from BEVERLY BLASKEY and MASON HARVEY

MOTION:

BROWN - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

MARK DOPPE appeared on behalf of the applicant, Whispering Teton, LLC.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 104 – V-0026-01

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 102 [Z-0016-98(2)], Item 103 [Z-0015-01] and Item 105 [Z-0015-01(1) for related discussion.

(1:46 – 1:47)

3-1527

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Rezoning (Z-0015-01) and Site Development Plan Review [Z-0015-01(1)].
2. This Variance shall expire in two years, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments which are not affected by the approval of this Variance must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0016-98(2), Z-0015-01 AND V-0026-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0015-01(1) - WHISPERING TETON, LIMITED**

LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 67 LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT on 16.71 Acres on the southwest corner of Bradley Road and Grand Teton Road (APN: 125-13-101-004), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone, PROPOSED R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development - 3 Units per Acre), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: A support letter from BEVERLY BLASKEY and MASON HARVEY

MOTION:

BROWN - APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition #4 as follows:

4. The setbacks for this development shall be a minimum of 18 feet to the front of the garage (measured from back of sidewalk where one exists, back of curb in all other instances), 5 feet on each side from back of the landscaping area, 10 feet on the corner side, and 15 feet in the rear.

– UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

MARK DOPPE appeared on behalf of the applicant, Whispering Teton, LLC.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 105 – Z-0015-01(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN BROWN confirmed with CHRIS GLORE, Planning and Development Department, that no added conditions are required for this item.

MR. DOPPE pointed out that due to the approval of Item 104 [V-0026-01], Condition #3 has been satisfied. He addressed Condition #4 stating that their side yard only starts from the back of curb and not from the back of the property line. Therefore, CHRIS GLORE, Planning and Development Department, suggested that language be added to Condition #4 indicating the setback 5 feet on each side as measured from the back of the landscaping area.

COUNCILMAN BROWN pointed out that this was a unique piece of property. The fact that MR. DOPPE and his company are coming in and meeting and exceeding the intent of the Iron Mountain Master Plan gives credibility to what is before the Council today. Additionally, the record reflects a letter of support from BEVERLY BLASKEY and MASON HARVEY, who are perhaps most personally familiar with this project. The fact that they are supporting the project as designed speaks volumes to the intent.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 102 [Z-0016-98(2)], Item 103 [Z-0015-01], Item 104 [V-0026-01] for related discussion.

(1:47 – 1:53)

3-1537

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The developer shall reserve a 15-foot wide corridor adjacent to, but outside of, the dedicated right-of-way for Grand Teton Road, on the south side of the street, and an additional 5 feet of the public right-of-way for trail purposes in accordance with Map Seven of the Centennial Hills Sector Plan. The trail corridor shall be created as a separate lot or parcel, as a common element, separate from other adjacent common elements, and the developer shall grant a public trail easement overlying the entire area of the common element thus created. Concurrent with development of this site, the developer shall construct Trail improvements within this easement corridor in a manner acceptable to the Planning and Development Department and the Department of Public Works. The

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 105 – Z-0015-01(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

- Homeowner's Association or similar management association of this development in perpetuity shall maintain landscaping and other improvements within the trail corridor, unless and until the City accepts an alternative maintenance. No above ground utility boxes that would substantially interfere with the use of the trail corridor will be allowed within the easement area.
2. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the City Council approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
 3. The site plan shall be revised to depict the required 1.10 acres of common open space, including open space located in a central area of the site, or a variance from the open space requirement approved by the City Council, prior to approval of a Tentative Map for this project.
 4. The setbacks for this development shall be a minimum of 18 feet to the front of the garage (measured from back of sidewalk where one exists, back of curb in all other instances), 5 feet on each side, 10 feet on the corner side, and 15 feet in the rear.
 5. The landscaping plan shall be revised to depict the minimum requirements of the Iron Mountain Ranch Residential Planned Development Master Plan (Section 7.6.1). The landscaping along Grand Teton Road, Bradley Road and Whispering Sands Drive shall consist of a minimum of eight (8) twenty-four (24) or thirty (30) inch box trees; twelve (12) fifteen (15) gallon vertical evergreen trees; ten (10) five (5) gallon shrubs; and twenty (20) one (1) gallon shrubs, for every two hundred-fifty (250) feet of linear planter area.
 6. The site plan / landscape plan shall be revised to depict the drainage easements converted to allow pedestrian access and to be able to access the Grand Teton Road and Bradley Road trails as required by the Comprehensive Planning Division.
 7. The applicant shall construct, maintain and provide the required trail along the Grand Teton frontage, including a cross-section of the trail that must be submitted and approved prior to the submittal of the Tentative Map. The cross-section must comply with the standards of the Comprehensive Planning Division of the Department of Planning and Development.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 105 – Z-0015-01(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

8. The applicant shall construct and provide the required landscape median along Grand Teton Road, including a cross-section of the median that must be submitted and approved prior to the submittal of the Tentative Map. The cross-section must comply with the standards of the Comprehensive Planning Division of the Department of Planning and Development.

Public Works

9. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in redesigning the proposed driveway layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
10. Gated entry drives, if such are proposed, shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
11. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services prior to the issuance of any permits.
12. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-15-01 and all subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001**

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0012-01 - CENTENNIAL 95, LIMITED****PARTNERSHIP** - Petition to Vacate a portion of Regena Avenue between Monte Cristo Way and Tenaya Way, and a portion of Monte Cristo Way between Regena Avenue and Centennial Parkway, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:**BROWN - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining because one of the partners in this project has a business relationship with him on other projects and MACK excused****MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY TOM AMICK, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Reshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, stated that the application is for the Vacation of Regena Avenue and Monte Cristo Way. The other half of the street is in the County, which has already been vacated in the County.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, verified with ATTORNEY AMICK that this Vacation would not affect the trails project.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 106 – VAC-0012-01

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:53 – 1:56)

3-1698

CONDITIONS:

1. This Petition of Vacation shall be amended to retain a ten foot private access easement for Nevada Power Company along the south side of the centerline of Regena Avenue and forty feet wide west of the Monte Cristo Way centerline being ten feet wide on the south side and thirty feet wide on the north side of the extension of the Regena Way Centerline.
2. This Petition of Vacation shall record concurrently with the reciprocal Petition of Vacation within Clark County.
3. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City Departments.
4. Reservation of easements for the facilities of the various utility companies together with reasonable ingress thereto and egress therefrom shall be provided if required.
5. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation.
6. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #5 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 106 – VAC-0012-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

7. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or an Extension of Time is not granted by the Planning Commission, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001**

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - **VAC-0013-01 - WALLACE AND GERALDINE SHEETS, ET AL** - Petition to Vacate a portion of Holmby Avenue, generally located west of Duneville Street, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="1"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

M. McDONALD - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

TED SHEETS, 1295 Duneville Street, concurred with staff's recommendations.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, asked whether this Vacation would affect the church that is being proposed in this particular item.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD stated that this Vacation would preserve the integrity and quality of life of the neighborhood. As far as the church proposed for this area, the Site Development Plan is still being worked on, and any concerns would be addressed at the time the application goes before the Planning Commission.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 107 – VAC-0013-01

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:56 – 1:58)

3-1818

CONDITIONS:

1. Submit for approval by the City Engineer a plan showing how the area proposed to be vacated will be incorporated into the adjacent properties (i.e. fenced in, paved, etc.) so as not to become an un-maintained “no man’s land” prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation for this site. If the area is to be used for vehicular access or parking, it must be hard surfaced or otherwise improved to minimize dust pollution. Appropriate improvement drawings, bonds, easements, and permits shall be obtained prior to the start of any construction needed to support such plan.
2. A Traffic Access Analysis or other information acceptable to the Traffic Engineering Division shall be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to recordation of an Order of Vacation for this site. The Traffic Access Analysis shall determine if a single access to the Redrock Street cul-de-sac will be sufficient to service the undeveloped 2.62 acre site immediately west of this right-of-way.
3. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study or other related drainage information acceptable to the Flood Control Section must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the recordation of the Order of Vacation for this application. Appropriate drainage easements shall be reserved if recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study; improve any drainage corridors recommended by the approved Plan/Study.
4. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City Departments.
5. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 107 – VAC-0013-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

6. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #5 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.
7. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or an Extension of Time is not granted by the Planning Commission, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - **VAC-0014-01 - BRADLEY ALEXANDER II, INC., ET AL** - Petition to Vacate portions of excess rights-of-way, public sewer and drainage easements generally located north of Alexander Road and east of Bradley Road, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

The applicant was not present.

COUNCILMAN BROWN verified with CHRIS GLORE, Planning and Development Department, that the item is in order. Therefore, he moved for approval.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:58 – 2:01)

3-1893

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 108 – VAC-0014-01

CONDITIONS:

1. Reservation of easements for the facilities of the various utility companies together with reasonable ingress thereto and egress therefrom shall be provided if required.
2. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation.
3. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City Departments.
4. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #2 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.
5. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or an Extension of Time is not granted by the Planning Director, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0031-01 - DARREL AND SHARON

CHAMBERLAIN - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW AN EXISTING ACCESSORY STRUCTURE (HEIGHT OF 14 FEET) TO EXCEED THE HEIGHT OF THE MAIN DWELLING (HEIGHT OF 10 FEET SIX INCHES) located at 321 Agora Way, (APN: 140-32-215-025), R-1 (Single Family Residential) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DARRELL CHAMBERLAIN, 321 Agora Way, was present.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:01 – 2:02)

3-1929

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 109 – V-0031-01

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. All development must be in conformance with the plot plans and elevations.
2. City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments, which are not affected by the approval of this Variance, must be satisfied.
3. Submit complete plans to the Building and Safety Department for review and permits.
4. If the Building and Safety Department requires removal of the detached accessory structure, which is the subject of this request, this Variance becomes null and void.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REQUIRED ONE YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING -
U-0015-99(2) - EZ PAWN NEVADA, INC. - Required One Year Review on an approved
Special Use Permit which allowed a pawn shop (EZ Pawn) at 1501 East Charleston Boulevard
(APN's: 139-35-402-001, 139-35-413-004 and 005), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 5
(Weekly). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY- APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY PAUL LARSEN, 300 South 4th Street, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's conditions. He explained that the existing pawn shop has not experienced any problems over the last year. He stated for the record, that, although the required disclosure form was not signed by his client, he can represent that none of the members of the City Council have any interest in this business or the underlined real property.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, indicated that in the 1960s the property used to be a nuclear fall-out shelter and asked whether it might be used if the need arose, especially with the transportation of nuclear waste. ATTORNEY LARSEN replied that it no longer functions as a fall-out shelter.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 110 – U-0015-99(2)

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.
(2:02 – 2:09)
3-1965

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in five (5) years.
2. All City Code requirements and all City Departments' design standards shall be met.

Public Works

3. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Special Use Permit U-15-99 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0063-01 - LPT COMMERCIAL HOLDINGS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF KID TO KID OF SUMMERLIN, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Special Use Permit for SECONDHAND SALES IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROPOSED CHILDREN'S APPAREL STORE (KID TO KID) at 8450 West Sahara Avenue (APN: 163-04-406-004), U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial), Ward 1 (M. McDonald). **(NOTE: This item to be heard in conjunction with Morning Session Item #65.)** The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

M. McDONALD - APPROVED subject to conditions and an added condition that this Special Use Permit is granted exclusively to this applicant – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services, indicated there are no areas of concern and recommended approval.

TINA and JEFF VIALARD, 10528 Meadow Mist Avenue, were present. MS. VIALARD stated that they are the business owners of Kid to Kid of Summerlin and concurred with staff's conditions.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 111 - U-0063-01

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN McDONALD clarified with MS. VIALARD that she will be selling clothing from infant to size 14, as well as cribs, changing tables, toys and other baby equipment.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD explained that there have been concerns regarding a second-hand dealership license, especially if the business is not successful. His concern is that if the applicant leaves, the license would remain at this location and there is no way of knowing what might come in under this same classification. The integrity of the neighborhood needs to be protected. He asked MS. VIALARD if she is willing to accept a Class III license restricting sale to clothing only. MS. VIALARD replied that this is a franchise based out of Salt Lake City and the first Kid to Kid in Las Vegas.

After the item was trailed, COUNCILMAN McDONALD announced that the license would be made specific to this particular applicant. Therefore, he moved to approve a Class II License, which would allow the applicant to sell new and used items and prevent any future concerns with this license.

CHRIS GLORE, Planning and Development Department, verified with COUNCILMAN McDONALD that the Special Use Permit would go with the individuals named in the Class II License, which is a companion item to the Special Use Permit.

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT verified with MS. VIALARD that the Special Use Permit would expire at the time the applicant vacates the location and there would be no gun sales at this location. MS. VIALARD concurred.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:04 – 2:09/2:34)

3-2068/3-3524

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. No outdoor display, sales or storage of any merchandise is permitted.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 111 - U-0063-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

2. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within one (1) year after the approval, the Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
3. The use shall comply with the applicable requirements of Title 6 of the Las Vegas Municipal Code.
4. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0066-01 - MOUNTAIN SPA RESORT DEVELOPMENT, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF MEADOWBROOK MOUNTAIN SPA - Request for a Special Use Permit and Site Development Plan Review FOR A TAVERN AND ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CARTS IN CONJUNCTION WITH AN EXISTING GOLF COURSE on Mountain Spa Drive, approximately 900 feet north of Monte Viso Drive (APN: 125-10-201-001), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). **(NOTE: This item to be heard in conjunction with Morning Session item #66.)** The Planning Commission (3-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (3-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY TOM AMICK, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's recommendations. He application is for a Site Development Plan Review for a permanent club house and a Special Use Permit for alcohol sales in conjunction with the golf course.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 112 – U-0066-01

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:09 – 2:11)

3-2246

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.
2. This business shall operate in conformance to Chapter 6.50 of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code.
3. Conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Site Development Plan Review [Z-0075-91(8)] and all applicable conditions of approval for the Mountain Spa Golf Course and all other subsequent site-related actions.
4. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within two years after the approval, this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time has been granted.
5. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

6. An update to the previously approved Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****ABEYANCE ITEM** - GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING -

GPA-0030-00 - HOWARD HUGHES CORPORATION - Request to Amend a portion of the Southeast Sector of the General Plan FROM: GC (General Commercial) TO: M (Medium Density Residential) on 15.3 acres approximately 320 feet west of Rancho Drive between Lake Mead Boulevard and Coran Lane (APN: 139-19-601-003), Ward 5 (Weekly). Staff recommends APPROVAL. The Planning Commission was unable to obtain a super majority vote therefore this item will be forwarded to the City Council with a DENIAL recommendation

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****220****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission was unable to obtain a super majority vote therefore this item will be forwarded to the City Council with a DENIAL recommendation. Staff recommends APPROVAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: A petition with 87 signatures in support
5. Submitted at meeting: A list of conditions by ATTORNEY MARK FIORENTINO
6. Submitted at meeting: Restrictive Covenants Running with the Land

MOTION:**WEEKLY – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused****MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY MARK FIORENTINO, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of First American Equities and West Mark Homes, who are the proposed developers of this project. West Mark Homes are experienced developers who have developed different residential projects both in Las Vegas and North Las Vegas.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 113 – GPA-0030-00

MINUTES – Continued:

The vacant parcel is located at the corner of Rancho Drive and Lake Mead Boulevard. There is an existing convenience store on the corner, Budget Suites to the north, and the Fiesta and Texas Casinos across from Rancho Drive. The property is currently zoned C-2 and has been for some time. This difficult infill piece warrants some attention because it is one of those vacant pieces of property in the heart of the City that has very intense commercial uses on one side and some substantial and long existing residential on the south and west side of the property. This site is appropriate for the proposed multi-family project. First, it creates the classic buffer between the intense commercial uses on the other side of Rancho Drive and the existing homes. Secondly, it creates the transition that the Council is constantly trying to achieve. Third, the proposed project conforms to the newly adopted 2020 Master Plan because it promotes a mixed use and discourages the auto related uses that would otherwise be permitted in C-2. It helps promote and aid the developer of mass transportation projects, and it creates an obvious housing base for the employment needs that already exist in this area. It is also appropriate because it will replace some uses that may not be in the best interest of the people who live in the immediate vicinity of this project.

ATTORNEY FIORENTINO thanked COUNCILMAN WEEKLY for allowing the applicant the opportunity to work with the area residents. In fact, the project has changed dramatically since the application was first filed. The density was reduced dramatically in response to some of the residents' concerns. The project was originally proposed as an R-5 with a number of the buildings being three-story. It was reduced to R-3 with only two-stories in height and made a gated access community. There will be no access on Coran Lane and this is especially important to the neighbors due to the cut-through traffic in their neighborhood. The applicant agreed to no daily or weekly rentals to avoid any undue problems that are occurring at the Budget Suites. There will be no central telephone systems to ensure that the units someday will not become a Budget Suites.

He submitted a petition signed by people in support of the project based on the conditions the applicant agreed. ATTORNEY FIORENTINO submitted a list of those conditions and a set of deed restrictions that both Hughes Corporation and West Mark Homes agreed to accept and record, which gives the neighbors an extra level of protection.

TODD FARLOW, 250 North 19th Street, questioned a piece of property, which ATTORNEY FIORENTINO explained as being a driveway that would provide emergency access to Lake Mead Boulevard.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 113 – GPA-0030-00

MINUTES – Continued:

DAVE SINGLETON, 1990 Catalpa Trail, and JOHN COVILLE, 2033 Valley Drive, both appeared in support of the application.

BETTY GRIPENTOG, 1990 Valley Drive, stated that she has lived in the area for 30 years and asked that the Council approve the project with the added conditions requested by the residents.

KATHY DRENNEN, 1885 Valley Drive, stated that the developer has done a great job at other locations and believes that his project will help her neighborhood.

MAYOR GOODMAN verified with ATTORNEY FIORENTINO that the petition of support was obtained in person during the course of numerous meetings.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY pointed out that this zone change request is a result of a year of discussions. The property is unique because it has City and County residents who share the same concerns. He thanked ATTORNEY FIORENTINO for his due diligence in meeting with the residents to address their concerns. When he thinks about revitalization in his Ward, he wants a project that is compatible with the neighborhood and one that will keep the integrity of the area. His main concern is for the residents on Valley Drive where their backyards abut this project, as well as the entire neighborhood. These people have lived in these homes for many years and their quality of life is very important to him. As a result, he personally called many of the residents on Valley Drive to personally hear how they felt about the proposed project. The general consensus was that they are not really excited about the project, but at the same time afraid of what could possibly come in if this project is denied. He thanked BILL GREGORY and TOM WARDEN for their help with the proposed conditions, and of course ATTORNEY FIORENTINO. He invited his liaison, MARIA MARINCH to give a brief summary of the residents' input.

MS. MARINCH explained that over 300 calls, e-mails and faxes were received regarding the proposed project. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY wanted to make it a point that everybody's concerns would be taken into consideration. He wanted to ensure that the residents felt comfortable about the project. She personally conducted a poll from all the e-mail and faxes received to verify the residents' positions. Many of the neighbors were not thrilled about the project but felt that they could support it in lieu of what could possibly come there. A total of 61 residents in the entire area support the project contingent upon the added conditions and 22 totally oppose the project, but understand that something would eventually be built on this vacant lot. The main concern for those residents whose backyards abut the proposed project is the privacy issue and traffic.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 113 – GPA-0030-00

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY reiterated that public input is very important to him and asked that the residents continue to remain in contact with him, especially to ensure that the added conditions are met.

CHRIS GLORE, Planning and Development Department, suggested that the conditions submitted by ATTORNEY FIORENTINO be incorporated into Item 114 [Z-0096-00]. ATTORNEY FIORENTINO discussed with COUNCILMAN WEEKLY that the applicant is willing to limit the total number of units to 300 instead of 320 as originally proposed. Additionally, MR. GLORE suggested that Condition #2 be amended to reflect that the Site Development Plan Review be approved by the Planning Commission and City Council at a public hearing.

MAYOR GOODMAN commented that the Council appreciates those developers who take the time to meet with the neighbors and listen to their input of what is best for their community. ATTORNEY FIORENTINO credited his client and Howard Hughes Corporation for bringing in a project that the residents are comfortable with and especially COUNCILMAN WEEKLY for working hard on this project. The residents of this particular area are very lucky to have COUNCILMAN WEEKLY as their representative.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion pertaining to Item 113 [GPA-0030-00] and Item 114 [Z-0096-00] took place under Item 113 [GPA-0030-00].

(2:11 – 2:34)

3-2354

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0030-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0096-00 - HOWARD HUGHES CORPORATION** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: C-2 (General Commercial) TO: R-3 (Apartments) on 15.3 acres located approximately 320 feet west of Rancho Drive, between Lake Mead Boulevard and Coran Lane (APN: 139-19-601-003), PROPOSED USE: APARTMENTS, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (2-1-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

220

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (2-1-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: A petition with 87 signatures in support
5. Submitted at meeting: A list of conditions by ATTORNEY MARK FIORENTINO
6. Submitted at meeting: Restrictive Covenants Running with the Land

MOTION:

WEEKLY - APPROVED subject to conditions, amending Condition #2 that the Site Development Plan Review be heard by Planning Commission and City Council at a public hearing and the following added conditions:

- The Site Development Plan shall reflect a maximum of 300 units.
- Each unit shall be subject to an initial lease period of at least 6 months.
- Access on Coran Lane shall be emergency only.
- Each unit shall have individual telephone service with no central switchboard servicing two or more units.
- Each unit shall have its own gas and electric meters.
- All buildings shall be limited to a maximum of two-story in height.

– UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 114 – Z-0096-00

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY MARK FIORENTINO, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of First American Equities and West Mark Homes, who are the proposed developers of this project.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion pertaining to Item 113 [GPA-0030-00] and Item 114 [Z-0096-00] took place under Item 113 [GPA-0030-00].

(2:11 – 2:34)

3-2354

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. Construct half-street improvements, including the full-width driveway access servicing the proposed apartments, on Rancho Drive adjacent to this site concurrent with the first phase of development of this site and construct half-street improvements on Coran Lane concurrent with development of this site.
4. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards prior to occupancy of this site as required by the Department of Public Works. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 114 – Z-0096-00

CONDITIONS – Continued:

5. Obtain an Occupancy Permit for all landscaping and private improvements in the Rancho Drive right-of-way adjacent to this site.
6. Landscape and maintain all unimproved right-of-way on Rancho Drive adjacent to this site.
7. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in redesigning the proposed driveway and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a as required by the Department of Public Works.
8. A Traffic Impact Analysis, including an Access Analysis for the proposed apartment complex to determine the adequacy of the two proposed driveways servicing 248 units, must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits **or the submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first.** Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.
9. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or the recordation of a map further subdividing this site or the submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 114 – Z-0096-00

CONDITIONS – Continued:

recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements. The amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first. In lieu of monetary contributions, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to construct such drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site if allowed by the Planning Engineer.

10. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services prior to the issuance of any permits.
11. Construct sidewalk on at least one side of all access drives connecting this site to the adjacent public streets concurrent with development of this site; the connecting sidewalk shall extend from the sidewalk on the public street to the first intersection of the on-site roadway network; the connecting sidewalk shall be terminated on-site with a handicap ramp.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0106-00** - **MICHELAS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) TO: R-PD8 (Residential Planned Development - 8 Units Per Acre) of 20 Acres on the southeast corner of Iron Mountain Road and Fort Apache Road (APN: 125-08-101-001), PROPOSED USE: 159 LOT SINGLE FAMILY SUBDIVISION, Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-1-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

2

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-1-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK - APPROVED subject to conditions and the following added condition:

- This request shall be amended to an R-PD5 (Residential Planned Development – 5 Units Per Acre) zoning district.
- UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY ROBERT GRONAUER, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant and property owners, Astoria Homes.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 115 – Z-0106-00

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion pertaining to Item 99 [SD-0012-01] and related Item 115 [Z-0106-00] and Item 116 [Z-0106-00(1)] was held under Item 99 [SD-0012-01].

(1:27 – 1:35)

3-716

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The applicant shall work with staff to evenly distribute the open space throughout the project prior to submittal of a Tentative Map.
2. The applicant shall place temporary chain-link fencing around the site during construction to contain any trash or litter on the site.

Public Works

3. Dedicate 40 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Fort Apache Road, 40 feet for Iron Mountain Road, and 25.5 feet for Brent Lane. Also, dedicate a 20-foot radius on the northeast corner of Fort Apache Road and Brent Lane and a 25 foot radius and appropriate traffic signal chord easement behind the road corridor easement on the southeast corner of Fort Apache Road and Iron Mountain Road. Alternatively, as allowed by the Master Plan of Streets and Highways as amended [MSH-1-97], on Fort Apache Road and Iron Mountain Road, the applicant may dedicate 30 feet of right-of-way and grant 10 foot “Public Use Roadway Corridor Easements” adjacent to such right-of-way for a total public half-street corridor width of 40 feet. Such public use easement shall be granted and available for any public need in conjunction with but not limited to traffic, drainage and storm sewers, streetlighting, fire hydrants, walkways, and sanitary sewer purposes. All required corner radii and chord easements shall be reserved behind and adjacent to such roadway corridor easement as if the easement area were dedicated right-of-way.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 115– Z-0106-00

CONDITIONS – Continued:

4. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving where legally able on Fort Apache Road, Iron Mountain Road, and Brent Lane adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site as required by the Department of Public Works. Also, if not already constructed at the time of development, construct a minimum of two lanes of paving on Iron Mountain Road extending easterly to the existing pavement; “Goecke” paving may be used to fulfill this requirement.
5. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine traffic signal contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 and #234.2 to determine additional right-of-way requirements adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1, for dedicated right-turn lanes and dual left turn lanes, shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.
6. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning section of the Department of Public Works for the Extension and Oversizing of public sanitary sewer in the Iron Mountain Road alignment to the western edge of this site to a location acceptable to the City Engineer. Extend sanitary sewer in Iron Mountain Road to Fort Apache Road with sufficient cover at the western boundary of this site.
7. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first, as required by the Department of Public Works. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 115– Z-0106-00

CONDITIONS – Continued:

the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements. The amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. In lieu of monetary contributions, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to construct such drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site if allowed by the Planning Engineer.

8. A Homeowner's Association shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, private roadways, landscaping and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections. The CC&R's for the Homeowner's Association shall be submitted to and approved by the City Attorney's Office.
9. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services prior to the issuance of any permits.
10. The final layout of this site shall be determined at the time of approval of the Tentative Map. Final right-of-way requirements will be determined at that time.

Standard Conditions

11. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
12. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
13. Where new water mains are extended along streets and fire hydrants are not needed for protection of structures, hydrants shall be spaced at a maximum distance of 1,000 feet.
14. Fence heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 115– Z-0106-00

CONDITIONS – Continued:

15. Provide plans showing accessible exterior routes from public transportation stops, accessible parking, passenger loading zones and public sidewalks to the accessible building entrance(s) with submittal of plans for building permits as required by the Department of Building and Safety. Accessible routes shall have running slopes and cross slopes in accordance with the applicable code.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0106-00 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0106-00(1) - MICHELAS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 159 LOT SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION on the southeast corner of Iron Mountain Road and Fort Apache Road (APN: 125-08-101-001), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone PROPOSED: R-PD8 (Residential Planned Development - 8 Units Per Acre), Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-1-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="2"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-1-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN - APPROVED subject to conditions and the following added conditions:

- This Site Development Plan Review approval is based on the revised site plan submitted to the Planning and Development Department and the Public Works Department on June 26, 2001 except as amended by conditions herein.
- This approval allows a maximum of 109 dwelling units on the 19.98-acre property.
- A Variance application to Title 19A.06.040 Residential Planned Development District minimum open space requirements shall be approved by the Planning Commission prior to the issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

– UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 116 – Z-106-00(1)

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY ROBERT GRONAUER, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant and property owners, Astoria Homes.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion pertaining to Item 99 [SD-0012-01] and related Item 115 [Z-0106-00] and Item 116 [Z-0106-00(1)] was held under Item 99 [SD-0012-01].

(1:27 – 1:35)

3-716

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The proposed setbacks shall be: Front load garage: 18 feet; Front (remainder of house and side-load garages): 10 feet; Side and rear: 5 feet.
2. The applicant shall work with staff to evenly distribute the open space throughout the project prior to submittal of a Tentative Map.
3. The applicant shall place temporary chain-link fencing around the site during construction to contain any trash or litter on the site.

Public Works

4. Gated entries, if proposed, shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
5. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-106-00, on this same agenda, and all other applicable site-related actions.

Standard Conditions

6. All development shall be in conformance with the Site Development plan and building elevations.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 116– Z-0106-00(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

7. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be provided as required by the Planning Commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner
8. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit or license, or prior to occupancy, whichever occurs first.
9. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments must be satisfied.
10. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
11. Where new water mains are extended along streets and fire hydrants are not needed for protection of structures, hydrants shall be spaced at a maximum distance of 1,000 feet.
12. Fence heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0027-01** - **ARC INVESTMENTS, INC.** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-4 (High Density Residential) TO: C-2 (General Commercial) on 0.15 acres on the east side of "F" Street, adjacent to Interstate-15 (APN: 139-27-310-056), PROPOSED USE: OFFICE WAREHOUSE, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and accept the **WITHDRAWAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE** of Item 117 [Z-0027-01] – **UNANIMOUS** with M. McDONALD not voting and MACK excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(1:02 – 1:04)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0030-01** - **CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) [P (Park) General Plan Designation] TO: C-V (Civic) on approximately 10.78 acres on the west side of Campbell Road, approximately 330 feet south of Alexander Road (APN: 138-08-101-015), PROPOSED USE: PARK, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID ROARK, Manager, Real Estate and Asset Management, Public Works Department, appeared on behalf of the City of Las Vegas. The City is eager to start this needed park in this particular area. He concurred with staff's conditions and clarified with CHRIS GLORE, Planning and Development Department, that Condition # 5 for Item 119 [Z-0030-01(1)] be amended to reflect that security lighting would be needed after 11:00 p.m.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 118– Z-0030-01

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 118 [Z-0030-01] and Item 119 [Z-0030-01(1)] was held under Item 118 [Z-0030-01].

(2:36 – 2:38)

3-3683/4-1

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. Appropriate right-of-way or easements for Campbell Road shall be obtained prior to the issuance of any permits.
4. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving (if legally able) on Campbell Road adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site, also extend two lanes of access paving southward to tie into existing improvements along Gilmore Avenue concurrent with development of this site.
5. A Traffic Impact Analysis or other acceptable information must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 118– Z-0030-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

6. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0030-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0030-01(1) - CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A 10.78 ACRE CITY PARK on the west side of Campbell Road, approximately 330 feet south of Alexander Road (APN: 138-08-101-015), U (Undeveloped) Zone [P (Park) General Plan Designation] [PROPOSED: C-V (Civic)], Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN - APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition #5 stating that the park shall not be lighted after 11:00 p.m. except for security lighting – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID ROARK, Manager, Real Estate and Asset Management, Public Works Department, appeared on behalf of the City of Las Vegas

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 119– Z-0030-01(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 118 [Z-0030-01] and Item 119 [Z-0030-01(1)] was held under Item 118 [Z-0030-01].

(2:36 – 2:38)

3-3683/4-1

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Submit a detailed landscape plan and planting schedule for approval by Planning and Development Department staff.
2. The City Council shall approve a Rezoning [Z-0030-01] to a C-V (Civic) Zoning District on the subject parcel site.
3. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
4. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
5. The park shall not be lit after 11:00 P.M.
6. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
7. Landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.

Public Works

8. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 119– Z-0030-01(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

9. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification (Z-0030-01) (on the same agenda) a all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

SET DATE ON ANY APPEALS FILED OR REQUIRED PUBLIC HEARINGS FROM THE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION MEETINGS AND DANGEROUS BUILDINGS OR NUISANCE/LITTER ABATEMENTS

DB 1813 Cedar Avenue, DB 1312 Denarius Circle, U-0109-94(2), U-0058-01, U-0068-01, U-0069-01, U-0070-01, U-0071-01, U-0072-01, U-0073-01, U-0074-01, U-0075-01, U-0076-01, U-0077-01, U-0078-01, U-0079-01, U-0080-01, U-0081-01, U-0082-01, U-0083-01, U-0084-01,
V-0034-01, V-0035-01, V-0037-01, V-0038-01, V-0039-01, VAC-0015-01 – 8/1/2001 Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

ADDENDUM:

None



AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

CITIZENS PARTICIPATION:

Items raised under this portion of the City Council Agenda cannot be deliberated or acted upon until the notice provisions of the Open Meeting Law have been met. If you wish to speak on a matter not listed on the agenda, please step up to the podium and clearly state your name and address. In consideration of others, avoid repetition, and limit your comments to no more than three (3) minutes. To ensure all persons equal opportunity to speak, each subject matter will be limited to ten (10) minutes.

MINUTES:

ED GOBEL, 3017 Quiet Breeze Court, President of the Council of Nevada Veterans Organization, Commander of Post 149 American Legion, member of the State Community VA Advisory Committee, life member of the Disabled American Veterans, Legislative Chairman JWD Post 64, and the third Nevadan in the history to be inducted into Legion of Honor of Chapel for Chaplains, expressed his disappointment that the City Council is using taxpayers dollars in a way that would divide veterans. MR. GOBEL explained that his organization scheduled a Veterans Day celebration and that another veterans group scheduled the same event, same day and time. He complained that the City gave the other organization funds for the event.

His organization works very hard to be accountable with what they do with their funds. They receive numerous calls from veterans ranging from burial assistance to suicide prevention. Additionally, they receive referrals from the MASH Crisis Intervention Center. His organization uses non-paid volunteers. The US Vets have done nothing to help a veteran with the funds given to them by the City. He would greatly appreciate it if the City could waive the fee for the stage needed for their event. Again, his organization works to help those people that have no hope.

MAYOR GOODMAN replied that the Council cannot take any action at this time. He acknowledged that he received MR. GOBEL's fax and that he is trying to address those issues specified in that fax, including the issue about making the necessary provisions for the disabled so they are able to participate in the parade. The issue will be resolved in a way that both veteran groups can join together and enjoy Veterans Day. He will work with MR. GOBEL on those issues, as well as coordinating the hours of the parade. MR. GOBEL commented that not only Veterans are being excluded from participating in the parade, but also from other different functions. MAYOR GOODMAN directed the City Manager's Office to brief the Council on this issue and to address how City Hall and parades can be made more accessible for people with disabilities.

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001 CITIZEN PARTICIPATION

MINUTES – Continued:

CITY MANAGER VIRGINIA VALENTINE replied that she would be happy to brief the Council. She clarified that the City provides only the permits and does not actually conduct the parades. From the City's perspective, there is no difference between giving someone money or waiving the fees. The financial impact would be the same as paying overtime for setting up the bleachers.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD commented that conditions are not placed on a permit that a parade must be held at a certain date and time. She suggested that the two groups get together and come up with a better schedule that is agreeable to both groups. MR. GOBEL replied that the issue is that the other group was originally scheduled to have the parade on November 10th and then changed to November 11th. Additionally, the City gave them \$5500. COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD reiterated that the two groups get together and decide on a joint program for November 11th.

(2:38 – 2:49)

3-3786/4-1

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, stated that during the Strategic Planning meeting in Mesquite, Nevada, the City Council instruct MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services, to implement a financial plan to maintain the trails that will be built in the future.

He referred to morning Item 64 related to Biodiesel fuel. The fuel will clean up the air, but it will not save the City money.

He stated that even though his tax bill has increased about 23.2% this year, he feels that the management of the City is terrific and the City's employees are outstanding. However, there should be a limit to how much taxes can be raised each year. He also asked that Code Enforcement improve their response time in addressing the citizens' concerns, especially in Wards 3 and 5.

(2:49 – 2:52)

4-373

TERRI DE LA TORRE-AZEMAN, Executive Director, for the Nevada Association of Latin Americans (NALA) came forward to inform the Council of a situation regarding their buildings. They are in the process of trying to purchase the buildings from the Housing Authority, who owns the land where the two buildings are located. Two years ago, her predecessor had locked in a deal with the Housing Authority to purchase the two buildings and the land for \$400,000 in

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001 CITIZEN PARTICIPATION

MINUTES – Continued:

cash. Unfortunately, there was not much of a response, and she has been trying to renew the lease. Currently, the Housing Authority is looking at reappraising the value of the land and the buildings, which could be close to a million dollars.

NALA is the oldest multi-service agency in Las Vegas and has been in existence since 1969 to provide a needed service to all ethnic background communities. They are a small non-profit agency trying to continue providing service to the community from those two buildings. In the last 32 years over a million dollars has been provided to NALA through federal, County and City funding. She asked the City Council for their support. MAYOR GOODMAN stated that he and COUNCILMAN REESE are very familiar with NALA and its terrific program. However, the Council cannot take any action at this time, but suggested that MS. DE LA TORRE-AZEMAN contact CITY MANAGER VALENTINE and advise her of the situation and seek guidance from her.

(2:52 – 2:54)

4-483

JOHN VENTURA, 601 Rain Tree Lane, asked if any action has been taken regarding his suggestion of address numbers on buildings that can be seen from the street. He hopes that his suggestion would be taken into consideration.

(2:54 – 2:55)

4-572

BROTHER DAVID BUER, 1420 West Bartlett Street, informed the Mayor and Council on the homeless situation. The homeless advocates continue to work hard trying to get the MASH tent open. He thanked MAYOR GOODMAN for his support and for encouraging the community to help as well. MAYOR GOODMAN indicated that Westward Ho would be making a \$50,000 contribution to MASH. BROTHER BUER added that he received an e-mail from DOUG BELL, Clark County, assuring him that \$100,000 would be available toward the operation of the MASH tent; \$50,000 for the summer and \$50,000 for the winter months. Approximately \$22,000 of ESG funds will also be applied for the use of the winter shelter tent, of which \$12,000 would be from the County and \$10,000 from the City of Las Vegas. Additionally, a local contractor will donate and install portable showers if they can be tied into a water source. A port-a-potty company is willing to donate nine port-a-potties for the MASH tent, as well.

BROTHER BUER indicated that COMMISSIONER ERIN KENNY has offered to put an agenda item on their next Clark County Commissioners meetings to discuss the possibility of additional funding. One homeless supporter is trying to arrange several meetings with some of the casino

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001 CITIZEN PARTICIPATION

MINUTES – Continued:

executives to discuss funding. A homeless vigil sponsored by the Homeless Advocacy Task Force is scheduled from July 21 to July 28, 2001 in front of the MASH tent, down the Strip and near the Fremont Street Experience to try to highlight the homeless issue and encourage the community to respond to its needs. Finally, volunteers are trying to get some of the local talent to get involved and raise funds. In fact, the INDIGO GIRLS, who will be performing on the Strip, will allow the homeless group to be present at their concert to speak on the issue. The group will also try to approach WHOOPIE GOLDBERG, who was once homeless.

The final challenge is to get enough funds to keep the \$40,000 a month operating cost of the winter shelter tent. BROTHER BRUER appealed to the local contracting community to come together and help insulate the winter tent. The cost of this would be approximately \$80,000. He looks forward to working with MAYOR GOODMAN and COUNCILMAN WEEKLY to launch an appeal to the rest of Las Vegas to do its part to address the homeless problem.

(2:55 – 3:00)

4-599

PATRICIA BROWN, President, Marble Manor Resident Council, pointed out that the Las Vegas Housing Authority locked a building where she conducts programs for the children who live in the Housing apartment complex. When she called to inquire as to why this had happened, she was told that now that she lives in Scudder Side Housing she is no longer eligible to be the President of the Resident Council. When she made that move, she asked specifically if that would affect her position, and she was told no. A Girl Scout meeting was scheduled for that evening, as well as a computer lab. MAYOR GOODMAN pointed out that the Council is limited as to what can be discussed during the Citizen Participation and suggested that MS. BROWN speak to COUNCILMAN McDONALD after the meeting because he represents the City Council on the Las Vegas Housing Authority Board. MS. BROWN added that residents are afraid to speak out because they are afraid that they will be locked out of their apartments. The Las Vegas Housing Authority is taking advantage of its residents.

LISA SMITH, 902 West McWilliams, stated that her children attend the computer program operated by MS. BROWN. She is very angry and afraid that she cannot voice her opinion on issues related to the Las Vegas Housing Authority.

TERESA FRAISER stated that MS. BROWN has helped children with food and given them a place to go. She never turned her back on them and does a lot for the community.

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 18, 2001 CITIZEN PARTICIPATION

MINUTES – Continued:

MS. BROWN asked that the City Council look into the Las Vegas Housing Authority because the residents have withstood enough.

(3:00 – 3:09)

4-761

AL GALLEGOS, Citizen of Las Vegas, asked that the City look into asking those companies who use the Las Vegas name to pay a fee. The revenue could be used towards schools and parks.

(3:09 – 3:10)

4-1032

MEETING ADJOURNED AT 3:10 P.M.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 18, 2001

NOTES AND DIRECTIVES:

COUNCILMAN BROWN directed CITY MANAGER VALENTINE to identify the current redundancies that exist among the departments, citing as an example MS. CODER's indication that her department is involved in grant funding, plans review, and marketing, which are tasks that have been traditionally handled through other departments. Understanding that there may be some overlap in coordination, COUNCILMAN BROWN would like these redundancies clarified so that focused discussion can occur on this issue at the retreat in Mesquite. (See Item 62)

(9:41 – 10:35)

1-1022

COUNCILMAN McDONALD directed CITY MANAGER VALENTINE to look into modes of transportation to get people to and from Cashman Field from the downtown monorail connecting station. (See Item 63)

MAYOR GOODMAN requested that MR. WALKER provide him with simplified information he can use when he testifies before the Senate in Washington DC. (See Item 63)

(10:35 – 10:59)

1-3352/2-1

COUNCILMAN McDONALD directed ROBERT GENZER, Planning & Development Department, to notify the applicant that they have to clean up the property. [See Item 101 – Z-0081-99(1)]

(1:37 – 1:40)

3-1103

MAYOR GOODMAN directed the City Manager's Office to brief the Council on this issue and to address how City Hall and parades can be made more accessible for people with disabilities. [Citizen Participation]

(2:38 – 2:49)

3-3786/4-1

MAYOR GOODMAN stated that he and COUNCILMAN REESE are very familiar with NALA and its terrific program. However, the Council cannot take any action at this time, but suggested that MS. DE LA TORRE-AZEMAN contact CITY MANAGER VALENTINE and advise her of the situation and seek guidance from her. [Citizen Participation]

(2:52 – 2:54)

4-483